

LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1 Critical Appraisal for Randomized Controlled Trials

Critical Appraisal for Randomized Controlled Trials

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Randomized Controlled Trials

Reviewer : Widari Nirmalasari

Author : Ahmad Nasiri, Mohammad Azim Mahmodi, Zohre Nobakht

Date : 25/12/2020

Journal : Effect of Aromatherapy Massage With lavender Essential Oil on Pain in with osteoarthritis of The Knee

NO		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1	Was true randomization used for assignment of participants to treatment groups?	✓			
2	Was allocation to treatment groups concealed?	✓			
3	Were treatment groups similar at the baseline?	✓			
4	Were participants blind to treatment assignment?	✓			
5	Were those delivering treatment blind to treatment assignment?	✓			
6	Were outcomes assessors blind to treatment assignment?	✓			
7	Were treatment groups treated identically other than the intervention of interest?	✓			

- 8 Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed? ✓
- 9 Were participants analyzed in the groups to which they were randomized? ✓
- 10 Were outcomes measured in the same way for treatment groups? ✓
- 11 Were outcomes measured in a reliable way? ✓
- 12 Was appropriate statistical analysis used? ✓
- 13 Was the trial design appropriate, and any deviations from the standard RCT design (individual randomization, parallel groups) accounted for in the conduct and analysis of the trial? ✓

Overall appraisal : include exclude seek further info

Lampiran 2 Critical Appraisal for Randomized Controlled Trials

Critical Appraisal for Randomized Controlled Trials

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Randomized Controlled Trials

Reviewer : Widari Nirmalasari

Author : Tugba Karaman, Serkan Karaman, etc.

Date : 25/12/2020

Journal : Evaluating The Efficacy of Lavendeer Aromatherapy on Peripheral Venous Cannulation Pain and Anxiety

NO		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1	Was true randomization used for assignment of participants to treatment groups?	✓			
2	Was allocation to treatment groups concealed?	✓			
3	Were treatment groups similar at the baseline?	✓			
4	Were participants blind to treatment assignment?	✓			
5	Were those delivering treatment blind to treatment assignment?	✓			
6	Were outcomes assessors blind to treatment assignment?	✓			
7	Were treatment groups treated identically other than the intervention of interest?	✓			

- 8 Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed? ✓
- 9 Were participants analyzed in the groups to which they were randomized? ✓
- 10 Were outcomes measured in the same way for treatment groups? ✓
- 11 Were outcomes measured in a reliable way? ✓
- 12 Was appropriate statistical analysis used? ✓
- 13 Was the trial design appropriate, and any deviations from the standard RCT design (individual randomization, parallel groups) accounted for in the conduct and analysis of the trial? ✓

Overall appraisal : include exclude seek further info

Lampiran 3 Critical Appraisal for Randomized Controlled Trials

Critical Appraisal for Randomized Controlled Trials

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Randomized Controlled Trials

Reviewer : Widari Nirmalasari

Author : Far Zaneh Haanzadeh, Narges Mohammadi Kashouk

Date : 25/12/2020

Journal : The Effect of Cold Application and Lavender Oil Inhalation in Cardiac Surgery Patients Undergoing Chest tube Removal

NO		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1	Was true randomization used for assignment of participants to treatment groups?	✓			
2	Was allocation to treatment groups concealed?	✓			
3	Were treatment groups similar at the baseline?	✓			
4	Were participants blind to treatment assignment?	✓			
5	Were those delivering treatment blind to treatment assignment?	✓			
6	Were outcomes assessors blind to treatment assignment?	✓			
7	Were treatment groups treated identically other than the intervention of interest?	✓			

- 8 Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed? ✓
- 9 Were participants analyzed in the groups to which they were randomized? ✓
- 10 Were outcomes measured in the same way for treatment groups? ✓
- 11 Were outcomes measured in a reliable way? ✓
- 12 Was appropriate statistical analysis used? ✓
- 13 Was the trial design appropriate, and any deviations from the standard RCT design (individual randomization, parallel groups) accounted for in the conduct and analysis of the trial? ✓

Overall appraisal : include exclude seek further info

Lampiran 4 Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies

(non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Widari Nirmalasari

Author : Gusti Ayu Tirtawati, Atik Purwandari, Nur Hidayat

Date : 25/12/2020

Journal : Efektivitas Pemberian Aromaterapi Lavender Terhadap Intensitas Nyeri Pos Op Sectio Caesaria

NO	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1	Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	✓		
2	Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?		✓	
3	Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	✓		
4	Was there a control group?	✓		
5	Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the	✓		

intervention/exposure?

- 6 Was follow up complete and if not, ✓
were differences between groups in
terms of their follow up adequately
described and analyzed?
- 7 Were the outcomes of participants ✓
included in any comparisons measured
in the same way?
- 8 Were outcomes measured in a reliable ✓
way?
- 9 Was appropriate statistical analysis ✓
used?

Overall appraisal : include

exclude

seek further info

Lampiran 5 Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies

(non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Widari Nirmalasari

Author : Bagun Dadang Prasetyo, Bambang Susilo

Date : 25/12/2020

Journal : Pengaruh Pemberian Aromaterapi Lavender Terhadap Penurunan Nyeri Luka Ibu Post Operasi Sectio Cesarea Di RST Soepraoen Malang

NO	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1	Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	✓		
2	Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?			✓
3	Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?			✓
4	Was there a control group?		✓	

- 5 Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure? ✓
- 6 Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed? ✓
- 7 Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way? ✓
- 8 Were outcomes measured in a reliable way? ✓
- 9 Was appropriate statistical analysis used? ✓

Overall appraisal : include

exclude

seek further info

Lampiran 6 Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies

(non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Widari Nirmalasari

Author : Ariani Ponogh, Adriana Egam, etc.

Date : 25/12/2020

Journal : Effectiveness of Finger Hold Relaxation on The Decrease in Intensity of Pain in Patient Post Sectio Caesarea in RSUD Sorong Regency

NO	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1			✓	
2				✓
3				✓
4			✓	

- 5 Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure? ✓
- 6 Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed? ✓
- 7 Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way? ✓
- 8 Were outcomes measured in a reliable way? ✓
- 9 Was appropriate statistical analysis used? ✓

Overall appraisal : include

exclude

seek further info

Lampiran 7 Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies

(non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Widari Nirmalasari

Author : Asni Hasaini

Date : 25/12/2020

Journal : Efektivitas Relakasi Genggam Jari Terhadap Penurunan Nyeri Pada Pasien Post Operasi Appendiktomi di Ruang Bedah (Al-Muizz) RSUD Ratu Zalecha Martapura Tahun 2019

NO	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1			✓	
	Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?			
2			✓	
	Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?			
3			✓	
	Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?			
4			✓	
	Was there a control group?			

- 5 Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure? ✓
- 6 Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed? ✓
- 7 Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way? ✓
- 8 Were outcomes measured in a reliable way? ✓
- 9 Was appropriate statistical analysis used? ✓

Overall appraisal : include

exclude

seek further info

Lampiran 8 Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies

(non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Widari Nirmalasari

Author : Nita Evrianasari, Nova Yosaria, Annisa Ermasari

Date : 25/12/2020

Journal : Teknik Relaksasi Genggam Jari Terhadap Nyeri Post Operasi Sectio Caesarea

NO	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1	Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	✓		
2	Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?		✓	
3	Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	✓		
4	Was there a control group?		✓	
5	Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the	✓		

intervention/exposure?

- 6 Was follow up complete and if not, ✓
were differences between groups in
terms of their follow up adequately
described and analyzed?
- 7 Were the outcomes of participants ✓
included in any comparisons measured
in the same way?
- 8 Were outcomes measured in a reliable ✓
way?
- 9 Was appropriate statistical analysis ✓
used?

Overall appraisal : include

exclude

seek further info

Lampiran 9 Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies

(non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Widari Nirmalasari

Author : Denny Saputra, Asmawati, Experimental

Date : 25/12/2020

Journal : Efektivitas Relakasi Genggam Jari Oleh Suami Berpengaruh Terhadap Nyeri Pada Pasien Post Operasi Sectio Caesarea

NO	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1	Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	✓		
2	Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?		✓	
3	Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	✓		
4	Was there a control group?	✓		
5	Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the	✓		

intervention/exposure?

- 6 Was follow up complete and if not, ✓
were differences between groups in
terms of their follow up adequately
described and analyzed?
- 7 Were the outcomes of participants ✓
included in any comparisons measured
in the same way?
- 8 Were outcomes measured in a reliable ✓
way?
- 9 Was appropriate statistical analysis ✓
used?

Overall appraisal : include

exclude

seek further info

Lampiran 10 Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies

(non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Widari Nirmalasari

Author : Ika Lasati, Utami

Date : 25/12/2020

Journal : Teknik Relaksasi Genggam Jari Untuk Menurunkan Nyeri Pada Ibu Post Operasi SC hari 1-7

NO	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1	Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	✓		
2	Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?	✓		
3	Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	✓		
4	Was there a control group?		✓	
5	Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the	✓		

intervention/exposure?

- 6 Was follow up complete and if not, ✓
were differences between groups in
terms of their follow up adequately
described and analyzed?
- 7 Were the outcomes of participants ✓
included in any comparisons measured
in the same way?
- 8 Were outcomes measured in a reliable ✓
way?
- 9 Was appropriate statistical analysis ✓
used?

Overall appraisal : include

exclude

seek further info

Lampiran 11 Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies

(non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Widari Nirmalasari

Author : Tiarnida Nababan, Karmila Br Kababab, Ricky Rahmat Ndruru

Date : 25/12/2020

Journal : Pengaruh Teknik Back Massage (Masase Punggung) Terhadap Penurunan Intensitas Nyeri Pada Pasien Post Operasi Appendiksitis Di Rsu Royal Prima Medan 2018

NO	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1	Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	✓		
2	Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?		✓	
3	Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	✓		
4	Was there a control group?		✓	

- 5 Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure? ✓
- 6 Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed? ✓
- 7 Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way? ✓
- 8 Were outcomes measured in a reliable way? ✓
- 9 Was appropriate statistical analysis used? ✓

Overall appraisal : include

exclude

seek further info

Lampiran 12 Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies

(non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Widari Nirmalasari

Author : Dewi Nurhanifah, Sri Ramadina, Yeni Mulyani

Date : 25/12/2020

Journal : Pengaruh Pemberian Masase Punggung Menggunakan Aromaterapi Lavender Terhadap Penurunan Intensitas Nyeri Pada Pasien Post Operasi Laparatomi

NO	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1			✓	
2				✓
3				✓
4				✓

- 5 Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure? ✓
- 6 Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed? ✓
- 7 Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way? ✓
- 8 Were outcomes measured in a reliable way? ✓
- 9 Was appropriate statistical analysis used? ✓

Overall appraisal : include

exclude

seek further info

Lampiran 13 Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies

(non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Widari Nirmalasari

Author : Suad Abdul-Sattar Khudhur Ali, MSc, Hamdia Mirkhan Ahmed, PhD

Date : 25/12/2020

Journal :Effect of Change in Position and Back Massage on Pain Perception during First Stage of Labor

NO	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1	Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	✓		
2	Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?		✓	
3	Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	✓		
4	Was there a control group?	✓		

- 5 Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure? ✓
- 6 Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed? ✓
- 7 Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way? ✓
- 8 Were outcomes measured in a reliable way? ✓
- 9 Was appropriate statistical analysis used? ✓

Overall appraisal : include

exclude

seek further info

Lampiran 14 Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies

(non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Widari Nirmalasari

Author : Seda Unalmis Erdogan, Emre Yanikkerem, Asli Goker

Date : 25/12/2020

Journal : Effects of Low Back Massage on Perceived Birth Pain and Satisfaction

NO	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1	Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	✓		
2	Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?		✓	
3	Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	✓		
4	Was there a control group?	✓		
5	Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the	✓		

intervention/exposure?

- 6 Was follow up complete and if not, ✓
were differences between groups in
terms of their follow up adequately
described and analyzed?
- 7 Were the outcomes of participants ✓
included in any comparisons measured
in the same way?
- 8 Were outcomes measured in a reliable ✓
way?
- 9 Was appropriate statistical analysis ✓
used?

Overall appraisal : include

exclude

seek further info

Lampiran 15 Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

Critical Appraisal for Quasy Experimental Design

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies

(non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Widari Nirmalasari

Author : Sri Wulan

Date : 25/12/2020

Journal : Pengaruh Massage Punggung Terhadap Penurunan Nyeri Pada Ibu Post Sectio Caesarea

NO	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
----	-----	----	---------	----------------

- 1 Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)? ✓
- 2 Were the participants included in any comparisons similar? ✓
- 3 Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure ✓

or intervention of interest?

- 4 Was there a control group? ✓
- 5 Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure? ✓
- 6 Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed? ✓
- 7 Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way? ✓
- 8 Were outcomes measured in a reliable way? ✓
- 9 Was appropriate statistical analysis used? ✓

Overall appraisal : include

exclude

seek further info








Lampiran 16 Lembar Bimbingan



LEMBAR BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI

Nama Mahasiswa : Widari Nirmalasari
NIM : P17211171006
Nama Pembimbing II : Dr. Tri Johan Agus Y., S.Kp., M.Kep.

NO	TANGGAL	REKOMENDASI PEMBIMBING	TANDA TANGAN PEMBIMBING
1.	28/ 9/ 2020	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Mengajukan judul ➤ Diarahkan sesuai dengan visi misi prodi yaitu tentang perioperatif 	
2.	8/ 10 / 2020	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Bimbingan melalui zoom ➤ Mengajukan judul baru mengarah ke perioperatif ➤ Revisi judul: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Cari kebaruannya - Kalau bisa bukan judul penelitian sumbu pendek. 	
3.	29/ 10/ 2020	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Mengajukan judul baru ➤ Dicoba untuk mengerjakan bab 1 	
4.	11/ 11/ 2020	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Revisi judul : Efektivitas <i>Back Massage, Finger Hold,</i> dan Aromaterapi Lavender terhadap Penurunan Skala Nyeri pada Pasien Post Operasi Abdomen ➤ Acc Judul 	
5.	14/ 11/ 2020	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Bimbingan bab 1 ➤ Revisi bab 1 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Latar belakang bagian skala atau prevalensi. - Cantumkan novelty penelitian - Bagian tujuan umum: mengetahui diganti dengan menjelaskan atau mendefinisikan → pilih salah satu - Bagian tujuan khusus dijabarkan dari univariat – bivariat – multivariat. - Bagian tujuan khusus: mengetahui bisa diganti mendefinisikan atau 	











		menganalisis. Sementara tidak apa-apa pakai mengetahui saja.	
6.	3/ 1/ 2021	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Konsultasi mengenai kelanjutan bab 1 ➤ Lanjut bab 2 dan 3 	
7.	5/ 1/ 2021	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Konsultasi bab 2 dan 3 via email ➤ Mempersiapkan untuk ujian proposal. 	
8.	19/1/2021	➤ Seminar Proposal	
9.	22/1/2021	➤ Revisi Seminar Proposal	
10.	9/5/2021	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Konsultasi bab 4 dan 5 ➤ Revisi bab 4 → hasil dan pembahasan dipisah, setelah poin 4.1 dan 4.2 diberi kata-kata pembuka. ➤ Revisi bab 5 → ditambahkan kesimpulan untuk menjawab tujuan umum. ➤ susun <i>full paper</i> dari cover sampai dengan selesai ➤ bahasa-bahasa proposal diganti dengan Bahasa skripsi 	
11.	7/6/2021	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ konsul skripsi <i>full paper</i> ➤ acc ujian skripsi 	



LEMBAR BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI

Nama Mahasiswa : Widari Nirmalasari
NIM : P17211171006
Nama Pembimbing II : Dr. Susi Milwati, S.Kp., NS.,
M.Pd.

NO.	TANGGAL	REKOMENDASI PEMBIMBING	TANDA TANGAN PEMBIMBING
1.	27/09/2020	Konsultasi judul : “Efektivitas <i>Back Massage, Finger Hold</i> Dan <i>Aromaterapi Lavender</i> Terhadap Penurunan Skala Nyeri Pada Pasien Post Operasi Abdomen”	
2.	20/10/2020	Konsultasi metode penelitian : Penelitian menggunakan metode <i>Literature Review</i>	
3.	22/10/2020	Konsultasi BAB I : Revisi latar belakang	
4.	12/11/2020	Pengumpulan revisi latar belakang	
5.	16/11/2020	Konsultasi BAB I : Revisi latar belakang	
6.	25/11/2020	Pengumpulan revisi BAB I dan melanjutkan BAB II	
7.	15/12/2020	Menyelesaikan BAB II dan BAB III	
8.	24/12/2020	Konsultasi BAB II : Melanjutkan penyusunan BAB III	
9.	28/12/2020	Pengumpulan BAB III	
10.	02/01/2021	Membuat presentasi Power Point BAB I, II, dan III	
11.	03/01/2021	Presentasi BAB I, II, dan III	
12.	12/01/2021	Pengumpulan revisi PPT	

13.	14/01/2021	Presentasi BAB I, II, III revisi	
14.	16/01/2021	Proposal disetujui : Lanjut sidang proposal	
15.	19/01/2021	Sidang Proposal	
16.	30/04/2021	Pengumpulan dan bimbingan BAB IV	
17.	17/05/2021	Pengumpulan BAB V dan revisi BAB IV	
18.	24/05/2021	Pengumpulan revisi BAB IV dan BAB V	
19.	26/05/2021	Bimbingan BAB IV dan BAB V	
20.	27/05/2021	Pengumpulan revisi BAB IV dan BAB V	
21.	29/05/2021	Bimbingan dan pengumpulan abstrak	
22.	30/05/2021	Pengumpulan revisi abstrak	
23.	03/06/2021	ACC Seminar Hasil	