

Lampiran 1

POA (PLAN OF ACTION)

Nama : Lusian Arum Cendhani
NIM : P17211181020
Prodi : Sarjana Terapan Keperawatan Malang
Judul Skripsi : Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Kepatuhan Anak Dalam Pencegahan Penularan Covid-19

NO	Kegiatan Penelitian	Oktober				November				Desember				Januari				Februari				Maret				April				Mei				Juni				Juli				Agustus			
		1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4								
1	Tahap Persiapan																																												
	a. Penentuan Judul																																												
	b. Mencari Literatur																																												
	c. Penyusunan Proposal																																												
	d. Konsultasi Proposal																																												
	e. Perbaikan Proposal																																												

Lampiran 2

JBIC Critical Appraisal Checklist For Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer : Lusian Arum Cendhani

Date : 2 Mei 2022

Author : Gülsün Ayran, *et.al* Year : 2021 Record Number : 01

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Overall appraisal: Include ✓ Exclude <input type="checkbox"/>			Seek further info <input type="checkbox"/>	
Comments (Including reason for exclusion)				

Dari 8 pertanyaan, terdapat 7 jawaban ya: 88%

Lampiran 3

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist For Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer : Lusian Arum Cendhani

Date : 2 Mei 2022

Author : Xuyu Chen Year : 2020 Record Number : 02

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Overall appraisal: Include ✓ Exclude <input type="checkbox"/>			Seek further info <input type="checkbox"/>	
Comments (Including reason for exclusion)				

Dari 8 pertanyaan, terdapat 8 jawaban ya: 100%

Lampiran 4

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist For Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer : Lusian Arum Cendhani

Date : 2 Mei 2022

Author : Ann E. Aronu, *et.al* Year : 2021 Record Number : 03

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Overall appraisal: Include ✓ Exclude	<input type="checkbox"/>	Seek further info	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Comments (Including reason for exclusion)				

Dari 8 pertanyaan, terdapat 7 jawaban ya: 88%

Lampiran 5

JBIC Critical Appraisal Checklist For Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer : Lusian Arum Cendhani

Date : 2 Mei 2022

Author : Karen A. Patte Year : 2022 Record Number : 04

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Overall appraisal: Include ✓ Exclude <input type="checkbox"/>			Seek further info <input type="checkbox"/>	
Comments (Including reason for exclusion)				

Dari 8 pertanyaan, terdapat 6 jawaban ya: 75%

Lampiran 6**JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist For
Analytical Cross Sectional Studies**

Reviewer : Lusian Arum Cendhani

Date : 2 Mei 2022

Author : Quarcoo R and Gbagbo FY Year : 2021 Record Number : 05

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Overall appraisal: Include ✓ Exclude <input type="checkbox"/>			Seek further info <input type="checkbox"/>	
Comments (Including reason for exclusion)				

Dari 8 pertanyaan, terdapat 6 jawaban ya: 75%

Lampiran 7**JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist For
Analytical Cross Sectional Studies**

Reviewer : Lusian Arum Cendhani

Date : 2 Mei 2022

Author : Rahmad, dkk Year : 2021 Record Number : 06

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include ✓ Exclude Seek further info
 Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Dari 8 pertanyaan, terdapat 6 jawaban ya: 75%

Lampiran 8

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist For Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer : Lusian Arum Cendhani

Date : 2 Mei 2022

Author : Nova Ari Pangesti dan Eni Retno P. Year : 2021 Record Number : 07

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Overall appraisal: Include <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exclude <input type="checkbox"/>			Seek further info <input type="checkbox"/>	
Comments (Including reason for exclusion)				

Dari 8 pertanyaan, terdapat 5 jawaban ya: 63%

Lampiran 9

JBIC Critical Appraisal Checklist For Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer : Lusian Arum Cendhani

Date : 2 Mei 2022

Author : Mufida Anandita Rahmani, dkk. Year : 2021 Record Number : 08

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Overall appraisal: Include ✓ Exclude <input type="checkbox"/>			Seek further info <input type="checkbox"/>	
Comments (Including reason for exclusion)				

Dari 8 pertanyaan, terdapat 5 jawaban ya: 63%

Lampiran 10**JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist For
Analytical Cross Sectional Studies**

Reviewer : Lusian Arum Cendhani

Date : 2 Mei 2022

Author : Ika dan Nuriyah Year : 2021 Record Number : 09

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info
 Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Dari 8 pertanyaan, terdapat 6 jawaban ya: 75%

Lampiran 11

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist For Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer : Lusian Arum Cendhani

Date : 2 Mei 2022

Author : Insiyatul, dkk Year : 2021 Record Number : 10

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Overall appraisal: Include <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exclude <input type="checkbox"/>			Seek further info <input type="checkbox"/>	
Comments (Including reason for exclusion)				

Dari 8 pertanyaan, terdapat 6 jawaban ya: 75%

Lampiran 12

KEMENTERIAN KESEHATAN RI
BADAN PENGEMBANGAN DAN PEMBERDAYAAN SDM KESEHATAN
POLITEKNIK KESEHATAN KEMENKES MALANG

























- Kampus Utama : Jl. Besar Ijen No 77 C Malang 65126 Telp (0341) 366073, 371333 Fax (0341) 336746
 - Kampus I : Jl. Srikoyo No 106 Jember, Telp (0331) 426613
 - Kampus II : Jl. A Yani Sumberporeng Lumajang Telp (0341) 427247
 - Kampus III : Jl. Dr Soetomo No 46 Elituz Telp (0341) 301043
 - Kampus IV : Jl. NM Walid Haryani No 64B Kediri Telp (0343) 773293
 - Kampus V : Jl. Dr Soetomo No 3 Tumpang Telp (0348) 791239- Kampus VI : Jl. Dr. Cipto
 Mumpulwono No 12A Ponorogo Telp (0352) 441732
 Website: <http://www.poltekkes-malang.ac.id> Email : direktorat@poltekkes-malang.ac.id

LEMBAR KONSULTASI SKRIPSI
 PROGRAM STUDI SARJANA TERAPAN KEPERAWATAN MALANG

NAMA : Lusian Arum Cendhani
 NIM : P17211181020
 JUDUL SKRIPSI : "Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Kepatuhan Anak Dalam Pencegahan Penularan Covid-19"

NAMA PEMBIMBING : Dr. Erlina Suci Astuti, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kep				
NO	TANGGAL	URAIAN	TANDA TANGAN	
			PEMBIMBING	MAHASISWA
1.	12 Oktober 2021	Konsultasi judul	+	A. C.
2.	13 Oktober 2021	Judul ACC Menyusun Bab 1	+	A. C.
3.	20 Oktober 2021	Konsultasi Bab 1	+	A. C.
4.	27 Oktober 2021	Bab 1 diperbaiki: - Masalah belum Nampak - Data covid-19 darimana dan dimana - Penelitian oleh siapa dan tahun - Kronologis tidak ada - Solusi belum bisa ditebak	+	A. C.

5.	7 Desember 2021	Konsultasi Bab 1 (Revisi) Bab 1 ACC, di tujuan umum tulis menganalisis factor saja. Lanjutkan Bab 2		
6.	22 Desember 2021	Konsultasi Bab 2 Bab 2 diperbaiki, tambahkan: - Penjelasan dari jurnal tentang materi - Perkembangan imunitas anak - Tanda dan gejala covid-19 varian terbaru (alfa, beta) - Penjelasan cara pencegahan covid-19 - Tambahkan factor kepatuhan anak		
7.	2 Februari 2022	Konsultasi Bab 2 revisi Bab 2 ACC, lanjutkan Bab 3		
8.	21 Juni 2022	Konsultasi Bab 3 Bab 3 diperbaiki, tambahkan: - Tabel rangkuman JBI Critical Appraisal dari semua jurnal		
9.	1 Juli 2022	Konsultasi Bab 1,2 dan 3 Bab 3 tambahkan mapping jurnal		
10.	4 Juli 2022	ACC ujian Proposal		
11.	14 Juli 2022	Konsultasi revisi seminar proposal		
12.	15 Juli 2022	ACC Proposal Skripsi Lanjutkan Bab 4 dan 5		
13.	10 Agustus 2022	Konsultasi Bab 4 dan 5 Konsultasi abstrak		
14.	11 Agustus 2022	Bab 4 diperbaiki: - Pada hasil, bedakan untuk hasil faktor internal dan faktor eksternal - Tabulasi menggunakan tabel		

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Dibawah tabel berikan keterangan hasil dari jurnal - Pada pembahasan, jelaskan langsung ke inti 		
15.	16 Agustus 2022	Konsultasi Bab 4 dan 5 revisi		<i>Astuti.</i>
16.	16 Agustus 2022	ACC Seminar Hasil Skripsi		<i>Astuti.</i>
17.	25 Agustus 2022	Konsultasi revisi skripsi		<i>Astuti.</i>
18.	25 Agustus 2022	ACC revisi skripsi		<i>Astuti.</i>

Mengetahui,
Ketua Prodi Sarjana Terapan Keperawatan
Poltekkes Kemenkes Malang


[Signature]
Dr. Erlina Suci Astuti, S. Kep., Ns., Mkep.
NIP. 197608102002122001

Mengetahui,
Pembimbing Utama



























[Signature]
Dr. Erlina Suci Astuti, S. Kep., Ns., M. Kep
NIP. 197608102002122001





LEMBAR KONSULTASI SKRIPSI
PROGRAM STUDI SARJANA TERAPAN KEPERAWATAN MALANG

NAMA : Lusian Arum Cendhani
 NIM : P17211181020
 JUDUL SKRIPSI : "Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Kepatuhan Anak Dalam Pencegahan Penularan Covid-19"

NAMA PEMBIMBING : Supono, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kep., Sp.MB				
NO	TANGGAL	URAIAN	TANDA TANGAN	
			PEMBIMBING	MAHASISWA
1.	18 Oktober 2021	Konsultasi judul		
2.	2 November 2021	Judul ACC Buat Bab 1		
3.	24 November 2021	Bab 1 diperbaiki Agar dipertegas factor resiko yang dimaksud		
4.	10 Desember 2021	Bab 1 ACC Buat Bab 2		
5.	20 Desember 2021	Bab 2 Diperbaiki Tambahkan konsep pencegahan Covid-19 (3M)		
6.	20 Januari 2022	Bab 2 ACC Lanjutkan Bab 3		

7.	21 Juni 2022	Konsultasi Bab 3		
8.	27 Juni 2022	Lengkapi lampiran-lampiran (judul, lembar pengesahan, kata pengantar, daftar isi, lembar konsultasi)		
9.	1 Juli 2022	Konsultasi Bab 1,2,3		
10.	5 Juli 2022	ACC Ujian Proposal		
11.	14 Juli 2022	Konsultasi revisi seminar proposal		
12.	15 Juli 2022	ACC Proposal Skripsi Lanjutkan Bab 4 dan 5		
13.	1 Agustus 2022	Konsultasi Bab 4 dan 5		
14.	3 Agustus 2022	Bab 4 Pembahasan dipelajari dengan struktur FTO harus konsisten		
15.	5 Agustus 2022	Bab 4 ACC, lanjutkan Bab 5		
16.	8 Agustus 2022	Bab 5 kesimpulan sesuai dengan tujuan		
17.	15 Agustus 2022	Bab 5 ACC, lanjutkan abstrak dan lampiran-lampiran		
18.	18 Agustus 2022	Laporan skripsi ACC untuk ujian		
19.	25 Agustus 2022	Konsultasi revisi skripsi		


20.	25 Agustus 2022	ACC revisi skripsi		
-----	-----------------	--------------------	---	---

Mengetahui,
Ketua Prodi Sarjana Terapan Keperawatan
Poltekkes Kemenkes Malang



Dr. Erlina Suci Astuti, S. Kep., Ns., Mkep.
NIP. 1968102002122001

Mengetahui,
Pembimbing Pendamping



Supono, S. Kep., Ns., M.Kep., Sp.MB
NIP. 196512101986031004

Lampiran 13



KEMENTERIAN KESEHATAN RI
BADAN PENGEMBANGAN DAN PEMBERDAYAAN SDM KESEHATAN
POLITEKNIK KESEHATAN KEMENKES MALANG

- Kampus Utama : Jl. Besar Ijen No. 77 C.Malang, 65112 Telp (0341) 566073, 571398 Fax (0341) 536746
 - Kampus I : Jl. Srikojo No. 106 Jember, Telp (0331) 436613
 - Kampus II : Jl. A. Yani Sumberperong Lawang Telp. (0341) 427847
 - Kampus III : Jl. Dr. Soetomo No. 46 Blitar Telp. (0342) 501043
 - Kampus IV : Jl. KH Wakhid Hasyim No. 64B Kediri Telp. (0354) 773093
 - Kampus V : Jl. Dr. Soetomo No. 3 Trenggalek Telp (0355) 791293- Kampus VI : Jl. Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo No. 82A Ponorogo Telp (0352) 461792

Website : [Http://www.poltekkes-malang.ac.id](http://www.poltekkes-malang.ac.id) Email : direktorat@poltekkes-malang.ac.id



LEMBAR REVISI SKRIPSI

PROGRAM STUDI SARJANA TERAPAN KEPERAWATAN MALANG

Nama : Lusian Arum Cendhani
 NIM : P17211181020
 Judul Skripsi : Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Kepatuhan Anak Dalam Pencegahan Penularan Covid-19

No.	Halaman	Perbaikan	Keterangan
1.	ix	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Pada abstrak, pada paragraf terakhir tambahkan saran dan analisis oleh peneliti - Usahakan konsisten dalam penulisan kata “artikel” ganti kata “jurnal” menjadi “artikel” - Pada diskusi tambahkan inti dari pembahasan berdasarkan kesimpulan 	Sudah diperbaiki
2.	46	Pada baris keempat, tambahkan statement dari siapa	Sudah diperbaiki
3.	49	Pada paragraf kedua, pernyataan yang dijelaskan bertentangan dengan statement yang dibahas dan tampak kontradiktif. Jika tidak ada kaitannya dengan tema yang dibahas bisa dihapus.	Sudah diperbaiki
4.	47	Pada paragraf terakhir, tambahkan bagaimana pengetahuan anak akan terbentuk dengan bagus dan cepat.	Sudah diperbaiki
5.	48	Tambahkan penjelasan latar belakang orang tua yang seperti apa yang dapat mempengaruhi	Sudah diperbaiki

		kepatuhan anak dalam pencegahan penularan covid-19 berdasarkan artikel.	
6.	48	Tambahkan opini dan pembahasan tentang bagaimana orang tua dengan pendidikan yang tinggi cenderung mematuhi pencegahan covid-19.	Sudah diperbaiki

Malang, 23 Agustus 2022
Sebelum Revisi



Dr. Erlina Suci Astuti, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kep.
NIP.197608102002122001

Malang, 25 Agustus 2022
Sesudah Revisi



Dr. Erlina Suci Astuti, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kep.
NIP.197608102002122001



KEMENTERIAN KESEHATAN RI
BADAN PENGEMBANGAN DAN PEMBERDAYAAN SDM KESEHATAN
POLITEKNIK KESEHATAN KEMENKES MALANG

- Kampus Utama : Jl. Besar Ijen No. 77 C.Malang. 65112 Telp (0341) 566075, 571388 Fax (0341) 556746
 - Kampus I : Jl. Srikeyo No. 106 Jember, Telp (0331) 486613
 - Kampus II : Jl. A. Yani Sumberporong Lawang Telp. (0341) 427847
 - Kampus III : Jl. Dr. Soetomo No. 46 Elitar Telp. (0342) 801043
 - Kampus IV : Jl. KH Walid Hasyim No. 64B Kediri Telp. (0354) 773093
 - Kampus V : Jl. Dr. Soetomo No. 5 Trenggalek Telp (0355) 791293- Kampus VI : Jl. Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo No. 52A Ponorogo Telp (0352) 461792
 Website : [Http://www.poltekkes-malang.ac.id](http://www.poltekkes-malang.ac.id) Email : direktorat@poltekkes-malang.ac.id



LEMBAR REVISI SKRIPSI

PROGRAM STUDI SARJANA TERAPAN KEPERAWATAN MALANG

Nama : Lusian Arum Cendhani
 NIM : P17211181020
 Judul Skripsi : Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Kepatuhan Anak Dalam Pencegahan Penularan Covid-19

No.	Halaman	Perbaikan	Keterangan
1.	ix	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Pada abstrak, pada paragraf terakhir tambahkan saran dan analisis oleh peneliti - Usahakan konsisten dalam penulisan kata “artikel” ganti kata “jurnal” menjadi “artikel” - Pada diskusi tambahkan inti dari pembahasan berdasarkan kesimpulan 	Sudah diperbaiki
2.	46	Pada baris keempat, tambahkan statement dari siapa	Sudah diperbaiki
3.	49	Pada paragraf kedua, pernyataan yang dijelaskan bertentangan dengan statement yang dibahas dan tampak kontradiktif. Jika tidak ada kaitannya dengan tema yang dibahas bisa dihapus.	Sudah diperbaiki
4.	47	Pada paragraf terakhir, tambahkan bagaimana pengetahuan anak akan terbentuk dengan bagus dan cepat.	Sudah diperbaiki
5.	48	Tambahkan penjelasan latar belakang orang tua yang seperti apa yang dapat mempengaruhi	Sudah diperbaiki


		kepatuhan anak dalam pencegahan penularan covid-19 berdasarkan artikel.	
6.	48	Tambahkan opini dan pembahasan tentang bagaimana orang tua dengan pendidikan yang tinggi cenderung mematuhi pencegahan covid-19.	Sudah diperbaiki

Malang, 23 Agustus 2022
Sebelum Revisi



Supono, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kep., Sp.MB
NIP.196512101986031004

Malang, 25 Agustus 2022
Sesudah Revisi



Supono, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kep., Sp.MB
NIP.196512101986031004



KEMENTERIAN KESEHATAN RI
BADAN PENGEMBANGAN DAN PEMBERDAYAAN SDM KESEHATAN
POLITEKNIK KESEHATAN KEMENKES MALANG

-Kampus Utama : Jl. Besar Ijen No. 77 C.Malang. 65112 Telp (0341) 566075, 571388 Fax (0341) 556746
 -Kampus I : Jl. Srikeyo No. 106 Jember, Telp (0331) 486613
 -Kampus II : Jl. A. Yani Sumberporong Lawang Telp. (0341) 427847
 -Kampus III : Jl. Dr. Soetomo No. 46 Elitar Telp. (0342) 801043
 -Kampus IV : Jl. KH Walid Hasyim No. 64B Kediri Telp. (0354) 773093
 -Kampus V : Jl. Dr. Soetomo No. 3 Trenggalek Telp (0355) 791293- Kampus VI : Jl. Dr. Cipto
 Mangunkusumo No. 82A Ponorogo Telp (0352) 461792

Website : [Http://www.poltekkes-malang.ac.id](http://www.poltekkes-malang.ac.id) Email : direktorat@poltekkes-malang.ac.id



LEMBAR REVISI SKRIPSI

PROGRAM STUDI SARJANA TERAPAN KEPERAWATAN MALANG

Nama : Lusian Arum Cendhani
 NIM : P17211181020
 Judul Skripsi : Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Kepatuhan Anak
 Dalam Pencegahan Penularan Covid-19

No.	Halaman	Perbaikan	Keterangan
1.	ix	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Pada abstrak, pada paragraf terakhir tambahkan saran dan analisis oleh peneliti - Usahakan konsisten dalam penulisan kata “artikel” ganti kata “jurnal” menjadi “artikel” - Pada diskusi tambahkan inti dari pembahasan berdasarkan kesimpulan 	Sudah diperbaiki
2.	46	Pada baris keempat, tambahkan statement dari siapa	Sudah diperbaiki
3.	49	Pada paragraf kedua, pernyataan yang dijelaskan bertentangan dengan statement yang dibahas dan tampak kontradiktif. Jika tidak ada kaitannya dengan tema yang dibahas bisa dihapus.	Sudah diperbaiki
4.	47	Pada paragraf terakhir, tambahkan bagaimana pengetahuan anak akan terbentuk dengan bagus dan cepat.	Sudah diperbaiki
5.	48	Tambahkan penjelasan latar belakang orang tua yang seperti apa yang dapat mempengaruhi kepatuhan anak dalam pencegahan penularan covid-19 berdasarkan artikel.	Sudah diperbaiki

6.	48	Tambahkan opini dan pembahasan tentang bagaimana orang tua dengan pendidikan yang tinggi cenderung mematuhi pencegahan covid-19.	Sudah diperbaiki
----	----	--	------------------

Malang, 23 Agustus 2022
Sebelum Revisi



Sulastyawati, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kep.
NIP.197811302005012002

Malang, 25 Agustus 2022
Sesudah Revisi



Sulastyawati, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kep.
NIP.197811302005012002