

Lampiran 1

**JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR
ANALYTICAL CROSS SECTIONAL STUDIES**

Reviewer: Charisma Aprillia Damayanti

Date: Desember 2021

Author: Aeni, *et al.*,

Year: 2019

Record Number: 01

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion) :

Dari 8 pertanyaan memperoleh jawaban ya sebanyak 7 (87,5%) dan tidak sebanyak

1

Lampiran 2

**JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR
ANALYTICAL CROSS SECTIONAL STUDIES**

Reviewer: Charisma Aprillia Damayanti

Date: Desember 2021

Author: Akhriansyah, M.

Year: 2018

Record Number: 02

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion) :

Dari 8 pertanyaan memperoleh jawaban ya sebanyak 7 (87,5%) dan tidak sebanyak

Lampiran 3

**JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR
ANALYTICAL CROSS SECTIONAL STUDIES**

Reviewer: Charisma Aprillia Damayanti

Date: Desember 2021

Author: Siswanti, D.

Year: 2018

Record Number: 03

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input type="checkbox"/>	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include ✓ Exclude Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion) :

Dari 8 pertanyaan memperoleh jawaban ya sebanyak 7 (87,5%) dan tidak sebanyak

Lampiran 4

**JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR
ANALYTICAL CROSS SECTIONAL STUDIES**

Reviewer: Charisma Aprillia Damayanti

Date: Desember 2021

Author: Siswanti, H., & Sukesih, S.

Year: 2017

Record Number: 04

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include ✓ Exclude Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion) :

Dari 8 pertanyaan memperoleh jawaban ya sebanyak 8 (100%)

Lampiran 5

**JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR
ANALYTICAL CROSS SECTIONAL STUDIES**

Reviewer: Charisma Aprillia Damayanti

Date: Desember 2021

Author: Maria, L., & Maulidia, R.

Year: 2020

Record Number: 05

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion) :

Dari 8 pertanyaan memperoleh jawaban ya sebanyak 6 (75%) dan tidak sebanyak 2

Lampiran 6

**JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR
ANALYTICAL CROSS SECTIONAL STUDIES**

Reviewer: Charisma Aprillia Damayanti

Date: Desember 2021

Author: Yustiari, *et al.*,

Year: 2021

Record Number: 06

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion) :

Dari 8 pertanyaan memperoleh jawaban ya sebanyak 5 (62,5%) dan tidak sebanyak

3

Lampiran 7

**JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR
ANALYTICAL CROSS SECTIONAL STUDIES**

Reviewer: Charisma Aprillia Damayanti

Date: Desember 2021

Author: Lase, *et al.*,

Year: 2020

Record Number: 07

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion) :

Dari 8 pertanyaan memperoleh jawaban ya sebanyak 6 (75%) dan tidak sebanyak 2

Lampiran 8

**JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR
ANALYTICAL CROSS SECTIONAL STUDIES**

Reviewer: Charisma Aprillia Damayanti

Date: Desember 2021

Author: Wati, *et al.*,

Year: 2019

Record Number: 08

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Dari 8 pertanyaan memperoleh jawaban ya sebanyak 7 (87,5%) dan tidak sebanyak

1

Lampiran 9

**JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR
ANALYTICAL CROSS SECTIONAL STUDIES**

Reviewer: Charisma Aprillia Damayanti

Date: Desember 2021

Author: Pratiwi, *et al.*,

Year: 2020

Record Number: 09

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion) :

Dari 8 pertanyaan memperoleh jawaban ya sebanyak 6 (75%) dan tidak sebanyak 2



**KEMENTERIAN KESEHATAN RI
BADAN PENGEMBANGAN DAN PEMBERDAYAAN SDM KESEHATAN
POLITEKNIK KESEHATAN KEMENKES MALANG**













- Kampus Utama : Jl. Feroes 1, No. 111 Cidolog, Kab. Tolo, Jawa Tengah 51111 Telp. (0291) 850011-850012 Fax (0291) 850013
- Kampus I : Jl. Selomo No. 106 Jember Telp. (0331) 488814
- Kampus II : Jl. A. Yani Sunandarsoedjo Lumajang Telp. (0332) 421047
- Kampus III : Jl. Dr. Soetomo No. 44 Blora Telp. (085) 501014
- Kampus IV : Jl. HM. Nuhud Maras No. 42B Radin Telp. (081) 713094
- Kampus V : Jl. Dr. Soetomo No. 4 Dremgadi Telp. (022) 741024-741025-741026
Kampusdusun No. 12A Pongoro Telp. (027) 461747
Website: <http://www.poltekkes-malang.ac.id> Email: dirktkras@poltekkes-malang.ac.id

LEMBAR KONSULTASI SKRIPSI


PROGRAM STUDI SARJANA TERAPAN KEPERAWATAN MALANG

NAMA : Charisma Aprillia Damayanti
NIM : P17211183047
JUDUL SKRIPSI : Hubungan Komunikasi Terapeutik Perawat Dengan Kecemasan Anak Saat Hospitalisasi


NAMA PEMBIMBING UTAMA : Naya Ernawati, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kep.				
NO.	TANGGAL	URAIAN	TANDA TANGAN	
			PEMBIMBING	MAHASISWA
1.	12 Oktober 2021	Konsultasi topik penelitian, konsultasi judul skripsi		
2.	29 Oktober 2021	Acc judul dan mengerjakan Bab 1		
3.	2 Desember 2021	Konsultasi perubahan judul dan hasil pencarian literatur		
4.	22 Desember 2021	Konsultasi bab 1, 2 dan 3 Mengkoreksi latar belakang, rumusan masalah, tujuan penelitian		
5.	17 Januari 2022	Konsultasi revisi bab 1, 2, dan 3 dan lampiran JBI		
6.	28 Januari 2022	Acc siding proposal skripsi		
7.	17 Mei 2022	Revisi proposal skripsi		
8.	30 Mei 2022	Acc revisi proposal skripsi		
9.	27 Juni 2022	Konsultasi Bab 4 dan Bab 5 Konsultasi abstrak		
10.	1 Juli 2022	Revisi Bab 4 dan Bab 5 Revisi pembahasan		

11.	5 Juli 2022	Revisi pembahasan dan kesimpulan serta abstrak		
12.	12 Juli 2022	Acc siding hasil skripsi		
13.	20 Juli 2022	Revisi skripsi		
14.	21 Juli 2022	Revisi skripsi		
15.	22 Juli 2022	Acc revisi skripsi dan TTD		

Prodi Sarjana Terapan Keperawatan Malang
Ketua


Dr. Erlina Suci Astuti, S., Kep., Ns., M.Kep.
NIP. 197608102002122001

Mengetahui Pembimbing


Naya Ernawati, S., Kep., Ns., M.Kep.
NIP. 919851218201803201



KEMENTERIAN KESEHATAN RI
 BADAN PENGEMBANGAN DAN PEMBERDAYAAN SDM KESEHATAN
POLITEKNIK KESEHATAN KEMENKES MALANG













- Kampus Utama : Jl. Besar Ijen No. 77 C.Malang. 68112 Telp (0441) 866078, 812333 Fm (0441) 887248
 - Kampus I : Jl. Sekeloa No. 104 Jember, Telp (0341) 438613
 - Kampus II : Jl. A. Yani Sumberpereng Lumajang Telp (0441) 427347
 - Kampus III : Jl. Dr. Soetomo No. 44 Elter Telp (0442) 301043
 - Kampus IV : Jl. KH. Wahid Hasyim No. 64B Kediri Telp (0344) 773093
 - Kampus V : Jl. Dr. Soetomo No. 3 Tumpang Telp (0343) 781299- Kampus VI : Jl. Dr. Cipto
 Mangrove No. 20A Ponorogo Telp (0352) 461792
 Website: <http://www.poltekkes-malang.ac.id> Email : dirktur@poltekkes-malang.ac.id


LEMBAR KONSULTASI SKRIPSI
 PROGRAM STUDI SARJANA TERAPAN KEPERAWATAN MALANG

NAMA : Charisma Aprillia Damayanti
 NIM : P17211183047
 JUDUL SKRIPSI : Hubungan Komunikasi Terapeutik Perawat Dengan Kecemasan Anak Saat Hospitalisasi


NAMA PEMBIMBING PENDAMPING : Supono, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kep., Sp.MB				
NO.	TANGGAL	URAIAN	TANDA TANGAN	
			PEMBIMBING	MAHASISWA
1.	18 Oktober 2021	Konsultasi judul Perbaiki judul		
2.	1 November 2021	Judul Acc Buat Bab 1		
3.	24 November 2021	Bab 1 diperbaiki Siapkan Bab 2		
4.	31 Desember 2021	Bab 2 Acc Buat Bab 3		
5.	27 Januari 2022	Bab 3 diperbaiki		
6.	7 Februari 2022	Bab 3 Acc Buat lampiran proposal		
7.	14 Februari 2022	Proposal Acc untuk ujian		
8.	18 Mei 2022	Proposal Acc Diteruskan ke Bab 4		
9.	20 Juni 2022	Bab 4 disempurnakan Perbaiki margin		
10.	28 Juni 2022	Bab 4 Acc lanjutkan Bab 5		

11.	30 Juni 2022	Bab 5 disempurnakan terutama kesimpulan mengacu pada tujuan		
12.	6 Juli 2022	Bab 5 Acc Buat Abstrak dan lampiran		
13.	13 Juli 2022	Skripsi Acc untuk ujian		
14.	21 Juli 2022	Revisi skripsi Acc		
15.	26 Juli 2022	Skripsi siap untuk dijilid		

Prodi Sarjana Terapan Keperawatan Malang
Ketua


Dr. Erlina Suci Astuti, S., Kep., Ns., M.Kep.
NIP. 197608102002122001

Mengetahui Pembimbing


Supono, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kep., Sp.MB
NIP. 196512101986031004