

Lampiran 1

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL STUDI QUASY EXPERIMENTAL

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies
(non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Amanda Aura
 Date : 21/01/21
 Author :Luvi Dian Afriyani, Vistra Veftisia, Eti Salafas
 Year : 2019
 Record Number :01

	Ye s	N o	Uncle ar	Not applicable
1. Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	√			
2. Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?	√			
3. Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	√			
4. Was there a control group?		√		
5. Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?	√			
6. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	√			
7. Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?	√			
8. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	√			
9. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Result: out of 9 questions, the answer "Yes" was 8 or 88.8%

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL STUDI QUASY EXPERIMENTAL

Daftar Periksa Penilaian Kritis JBI untuk Studi Kuasi-Eksperimental
(studi eksperimental non-acak)

Pengulas : Amanda Aura
 Tanggal : 21/01/21
 Penulis :Luvi Dian Afriyani, Vistra Veftisia, Eti Salafas
 Tahun : 2019
 Nomor Rekaman :01

	Iya	tidak	Tidak jelas	Tak dapat diterapkan
1. Apakah jelas dalam penelitian ini penyebab dan apa akibat (yaitu tidak ada kebingungan tentang variable yang mana yang lebih dulu)?	√			
2. Apakah peserta yang termasuk dalam perbandingan serupa?	√			
3. Apakah peserta termasuk dalam perbandingan yang menerima pengobatan atau perawatan serupa selain pemaparan atau intervensi yang diminati?	√			
4. Apakah ada kelompok kontrol?		√		
5. apakah ada beberapa pengukuran hasil sebelum dan sesudah intervensi atau paparan?	√			
6. Apakah tindak lanjut selesai dan jika tidak apakah perbedaan antara kelompok dalam hal tindak lanjut mereka cukup dijelaskan dan dianalisis?	√			
7. apakah hasil peserta dimasukkan dalam perbandingan yang diukur dengan cara yang sama?	√			
8. Apakah hasil diukur dengan cara yang dapat diandalkan?	√			
9. Apakah analisis statistic yang tepat digunakan ?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info
 Result : dari 9 pertanyaan , jawaban “Ya” sebanyak 8 atau 88,8 %

Lampiran 2

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL STUDI QUASY EXPERIMENTAL

JBICritical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies
 (non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Amanda Aura
 Date : 21/01/21

Author :Liza
 Year : 2019
 Record Number :02

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	√			
2. Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?	√			
3. Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	√			
4. Was there a control group?		√		
5. Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?		√		
6. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	√			
7. Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?	√			
8. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	√			
9. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info
 Result: out of 9 questions, the answer "Yes" was 7 or 77.7%

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL STUDI QUASY EXPERIMENTAL

Daftar Periksa Penilaian Kritis JBI untuk Studi Kuasi-Eksperimental
 (studi eksperimental non-acak)

Pengulas : Amanda Aura
 Tanggal : 21/01/21
 Penulis : Liza

Tahun : 2019
 Nomor Rekaman :02

	Iya	Tidak	Tidak jelas	Tak dapat diterapkan
1. Apakah jelas dalam penelitian ini penyebab dan apa akibat (yaitu tidak ada kebingungan tentang variable yang mana yang lebih dulu)?	√			
2. Apakah peserta yang termasuk dalam perbandingan serupa?	√			
3. Apakah peserta termasuk dalam perbandingan yang menerima pengobatan atau perawatan serupa selain pemaparan atau intervensi yang diminati?	√			
4. Apakah ada kelompok kontrol?		√		
5. apakah ada beberapa pengukuran hasil sebelum dan sesudah intervensi atau paparan?		√		
6. Apakah tindak lanjut selesai dan jika tidak apakah perbedaan antara kelompok dalam hal tindak lanjut mereka cukup dijelaskan dan dianalisis?	√			
7. apakah hasil peserta dimasukan dalam perbandingan yang diukur dengan cara yang sama?	√			
8. Apakah hasil diukur dengan cara yang dapat diandalkan?	√			
9. Apakah analisis statistic yang tepat digunakan ?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Result : dari 9 pertanyaan , jawaban “Ya” sebanyak 7 atau 77,7 %

Lampiran 3

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL STUDI QUASY EXPERIMENTAL

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies
 (non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Amanda Aura
 Date : 21/01/21
 Author : Hidayati
 Year : 2017
 Record Number :02

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	√			
2. Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?	√			
3. Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	√			
4. Was there a control group?		√		
5. Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?	√			
6. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	√			
7. Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?	√			
8. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	√			
9. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info
Result: out of 9 questions, the answer "Yes" was 8 or 88.8%

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL STUDI QUASY EXPERIMENTAL

Daftar Periksa Penilaian Kritis JBI untuk Studi Kuasi-Eksperimental
(studi eksperimental non-acak)

Pengulas : Amanda Aura
Tanggal : 21/01/21
Penulis : Hidayati
Tahun : 2017
Nomor Rekaman :02

	Iya	Tidak	Tidak jelas	Tak dapat diterapkan
1. Apakah jelas dalam penelitian ini penyebab dan apa akibat (yaitu tidak ada kebingungan tentang variable yang mana yang lebih dulu)?	√			
2. Apakah peserta yang termasuk dalam perbandingan serupa?	√			
3. Apakah peserta termasuk dalam perbandingan yang menerima pengobatan atau perawatan serupa selain pemaparan atau intervensi yang diminati?	√			
4. Apakah ada kelompok kontrol?		√		
5. apakah ada beberapa pengukuran hasil sebelum dan sesudah intervensi atau paparan?	√			
6. Apakah tindak lanjut selesai dan jika tidak apakah perbedaan antara kelompok dalam hal tindak lanjut mereka cukup dijelaskan dan dianalisis?	√			
7. apakah hasil peserta dimasukan dalam perbandingan yang diukur dengan cara yang sama?	√			
8. Apakah hasil diukur dengan cara yang dapat diandalkan?	√			
9. Apakah analisis statistic yang tepat digunakan ?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Result : dari 9 pertanyaan , jawaban “Ya” sebanyak 8 atau 88,8 %

Lampiran 4

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL FOR CROSS SECTIONAL DESIGN

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer : Amanda Aura
Date : 21/01/21
Author : Meika Ayu, Dyah Rini
Year : 2018
Record Number :02

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5. Were confounding factors identified?	√			
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	√			
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Result: out of 8 questions, the answer "Yes" was 7 or 87.5%

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL FOR CROSS SECTIONAL DESIGN

Daftar Periksa Penilaian Kritis JBI untuk Studi Lintas Bagian Analitik

Pengulas : Amanda Aura
Tanggal : 21/01/21
Penulis : Meika Ayu, Dyah Rini
Tahun : 2018
Nomor Rekaman :02

	Iya	Tidak	Tidak jelas	Tidak dapat di terapkan

1. Apakah kriteria untuk dimasukkan dalam sampel didefinisikan dengan jelas?	√			
2. Apakah subjek penelitian dan latarnya dijelaskan secara rinci?	√			
3. Apakah eksposur diukur dengan cara yang valid dan dapat diandalkan?	√			
4. Apakah kriteria standar yang obyektif digunakan untuk mengukur kondisi?	√			
5. Apakah faktor perancu diidentifikasi?	√			
6. Apakah strategi untuk menangani faktor perancu dinyatakan?	√			
7. Apakah hasil diukur dengan cara yang valid dan dapat diandalkan?	√			
8. Apakah analisis statistik yang tepat digunakan?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info
 Result : dari 8 pertanyaan , jawaban “Ya” sebanyak 7 atau 87,5 %

Lampiran 5

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL FOR CROSS SECCIONAL DESIGN

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer : Amanda Aura
 Date : 21/01/21
 Author : Maidarti, Sri Hayati, Legi Agus Nurhida
 Year : 2016
 Record Number :01

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
--	-----	----	---------	----------------

1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5. Were confounding factors identified?	√			
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	√			
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Result: out of 8 questions, the answer "Yes" was 7 or 87.5%

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL FOR CROSS SECCIONAL DESIGN

Daftar Periksa Penilaian Kritis JBI untuk Studi Lintas Bagian Analitik

Pengulas : Amanda Aura
 Tanggal : 21/01/21
 Penulis : Maidarti, Sri Hayati, Legi Agus Nurhida
 Tahun : 2016
 Nomor Rekaman :01

	Iya	Tidak	Tidak jelas	Tidak dapat di terapkan
1. Apakah kriteria untuk dimasukkan dalam sampel didefinisikan dengan jelas?	√			
2. Apakah subjek penelitian dan latarnya dijelaskan secara rinci?	√			
3. Apakah eksposur diukur dengan cara yang valid dan dapat diandalkan?	√			
4. Apakah kriteria standar yang obyektif digunakan untuk mengukur kondisi?	√			
5. Apakah faktor perancu diidentifikasi?	√			
6. Apakah strategi untuk menangani faktor perancu dinyatakan?	√			
7. Apakah hasil diukur dengan cara yang valid dan dapat diandalkan?	√			
8. Apakah analisis statistik yang tepat digunakan?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info
 Result : dari 8 pertanyaan , jawaban “Ya” sebanyak 7 atau 87,5 %

Lampiran 6 Lembar Bimbingan




LEMBAR BIMBINGAN KTI

Nama mahasiswa : Amanda Aura Maharani

NIM : P17210181013

Pembimbing : Dr. Atti Yudiernawati, SKp, MPd

NO	TANGAL	REKOMENDASI PEMBIMBING	TANDA TANGAN PEMBIMBING
1	29/09/2020	Konsultasi topik penelitian	<i>Atti Yudiernawati</i> -
2	30/09/2020	Konsultasi latar belakang masalah	<i>Atti Yudiernawati</i> -
3	01/10/2020	Konsultasi mengenai POA dan revisi POA	<i>Atti Yudiernawati</i> -
4	09/10/2020	Konsultasi bab 1 latar belakang masalah	<i>Atti Yudiernawati</i> -
5	09/11/2020	Konsultasi bab 2 tinjauan teori	<i>Atti Yudiernawati</i> -
6	17/11/2020	Konsultasi bab 3 metode penelitian	<i>Atti Yudiernawati</i> -
7	01/01/2021	ACC uji seminar proposal	<i>Atti Yudiernawati</i> -
8	29/01/2021	ACC revisi seminar proposal	<i>Atti Yudiernawati</i> -
9	05/05/2021	Konsultasi bab 4&5	<i>Atti Yudiernawati</i> -
10	11/05/2021	Revisi bab 4&5	<i>Atti Yudiernawati</i> -
11	24/05/2021	Revisi BAB 4&5	<i>Atti Yudiernawati</i> -
12	04/06/2021	Revisi Abstrak & Melengkapi makalah	<i>Atti Yudiernawati</i> -
13	03/06/2021	ACC uji Hasil	<i>Atti Yudiernawati</i> -

14	20/06/2021	Revisi Uji Hasil	
15	22/06/2021	ACC KTI Hasil	

