

Lampiran 1

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL STUDI QUASY EXPERIMENTAL

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies

(non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Acik Febila Antika
 Date : 18/02/2021
 Author : Nur Intan Tuti Restuatuti & Eddy R Pangaribuan
 Year : 2016
 Record Number : 01

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	√			
2. Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?	√			
3. Are the participants included in accepting the attitude changes that occurred during their pregnancy?	√			
4. Was there a control group?		√		
5. Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?	√			
6. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	√			
7. Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?	√			
8. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	√			
9. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info
 Result : dari 9 pertanyaan , jawaban "Ya" sebanyak 8 atau 88,8

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL STUDI QUASY EXPERIMENTAL

Daftar Periksa Penilaian Kritis JBI untuk Studi Kuasi-Eksperimental

(studi eksperimental non-acak)

Pengulas : Acik Febila Antika

Tanggal : 18/02/2021

Penulis : Nur Intan Tuti Restuatuti & Eddy R Pangaribuan

Tahun : 2016

Nomor Rekaman : 01

	Iya	tidak	Tidak jelas	Tak dapat diterapkan
1. Apakah jelas dalam penelitian ini penyebab dan apa akibat (yaitu tidak ada kebingungan tentang variable yang mana yang lebih dulu)?	√			
2. Apakah peserta yang termasuk dalam perbandingan serupa?	√			
3. Apakah peserta termasuk dalam menerima perubahan sikap yang terjadi pada kehamilannya?	√			
4. Apakah ada kelompok kontrol?		√		
5. Apakah ada beberapa pengukuran hasil sebelum dan sesudah intervensi atau paparan?	√			
6. Apakah tindak lanjut selesai dan jika tidak apakah perbedaan antara kelompok dalam hal tindak lanjut mereka cukup dijelaskan dan dianalisis?	√			
7. Apakah hasil peserta dimasukkan dalam perbandingan yang diukur dengan cara yang sama?	√			
8. Apakah hasil diukur dengan cara yang dapat diandalkan?	√			
9. Apakah analisis statistic yang tepat digunakan ?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Result : dari 9 pertanyaan , jawaban “Ya” sebanyak 8 atau 88,8 %

Lampiran 2

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL STUDI QUASY EXPERIMENTAL

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies

(non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Acik Febila Antika

Date : 18/02/2021

Author : Hermina Humune

Year : 2016

Record Number : 01

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	√			
2. Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?	√			
3. Are the participants included in accepting the attitude changes that occurred during their pregnancy?		√		
4. Was there a control group?		√		
5. Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?	√			
6. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	√			
7. Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?	√			
8. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	√			
9. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Result : dari 9 pertanyaan , jawaban "Ya" sebanyak 8 atau 90 %

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL STUDI QUASY EXPERIMENTAL

Daftar Periksa Penilaian Kritis JBI untuk Studi Kuasi-Eksperimental

(studi eksperimental non-acak)

Pengulas : Acik Febila Antika

Tanggal : 18/02/2021

Penulis : Hermina Humune

Tahun : 2016

Nomor Rekaman : 01

	Iya	tidak	Tidak jelas	Tak dapat diterapkan
1. Apakah jelas dalam penelitian ini penyebab dan apa akibat (yaitu tidak ada kebingungan tentang variable yang mana yang lebih dulu)?	√			
2. Apakah peserta yang termasuk dalam perbandingan serupa?	√			
3. Apakah peserta termasuk dalam menerima perubahan sikap yang terjadi pada kehamilannya?		√		
4. Apakah ada kelompok kontrol?		√		
5. Apakah ada beberapa pengukuran hasil sebelum dan sesudah intervensi atau paparan?	√			
6. Apakah tindak lanjut selesai dan jika tidak apakah perbedaan antara kelompok dalam hal tindak lanjut mereka cukup dijelaskan dan dianalisis?	√			
7. Apakah hasil peserta dimasukkan dalam perbandingan yang diukur dengan cara yang sama?	√			
8. Apakah hasil diukur dengan cara yang dapat diandalkan?	√			
9. Apakah analisis statistic yang tepat digunakan ?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Result : dari 9 pertanyaan , jawaban “Ya” sebanyak 8 atau 90%

Lampiran 3

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL STUDI QUASY EXPERIMENTAL

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies

(non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Acik Febila Antika
 Date : 18/02/2021
 Author : Noviana Devi Darmastiti
 Year : 2015
 Record Number : 01

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	√			
2. Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?	√			
3. Are the participants included in accepting the attitude changes that occurred during their pregnancy?		√		
4. Was there a control group?		√		
5. Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?	√			
6. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	√			
7. Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?	√			
8. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	√			
9. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Result : dari 9 pertanyaan , jawaban "Ya" sebanyak 8 atau 90 %

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL STUDI QUASY EXPERIMENTAL

Daftar Periksa Penilaian Kritis JBI untuk Studi Kuasi-Eksperimental

(studi eksperimental non-acak)

Pengulas : Acik Febila Antika
Tanggal : 18/02/2021
Penulis : Noviana Devi Darmastiti
Tahun : 2015
Nomor Rekaman : 01

	Iya	tidak	Tidak jelas	Tak dapat diterapkan
1. Apakah jelas dalam penelitian ini penyebab dan apa akibat (yaitu tidak ada kebingungan tentang variable yang mana yang lebih dulu)?	√			
2. Apakah peserta yang termasuk dalam perbandingan serupa?	√			
3. Apakah peserta termasuk dalam menerima perubahan sikap yang terjadi pada kehamilannya?		√		
4. Apakah ada kelompok kontrol?		√		
5. Apakah ada beberapa pengukuran hasil sebelum dan sesudah intervensi atau paparan?	√			
6. Apakah tindak lanjut selesai dan jika tidak apakah perbedaan antara kelompok dalam hal tindak lanjut mereka cukup dijelaskan dan dianalisis?	√			
7. Apakah hasil peserta dimasukkan dalam perbandingan yang diukur dengan cara yang sama?	√			
8. Apakah hasil diukur dengan cara yang dapat diandalkan?	√			
9. Apakah analisis statistic yang tepat digunakan ?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Result : dari 9 pertanyaan , jawaban “Ya” sebanyak 8 atau 90 %

Lampiran 4

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL STUDI QUASY EXPERIMENTAL

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies

(non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Acik Febila Antika

Date : 18/02/2021

Author : Fitriana Baharuddin

Year : 2012

Record Number : 01

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	√			
2. Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?	√			
3. Are the participants included in accepting the attitude changes that occurred during their pregnancy?	√			
4. Was there a control group?		√		
5. Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?	√			
6. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	√			
7. Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?	√			
8. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	√			
9. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Result : dari 9 pertanyaan , jawaban "Ya" sebanyak 8 atau 88,8 %

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL STUDI QUASY EXPERIMENTAL

Daftar Periksa Penilaian Kritis JBI untuk Studi Kuasi-Eksperimental

(studi eksperimental non-acak)

Pengulas : Acik Febila Antika

Tanggal : 18/02/2021

Penulis : Fitriana Baharuddin

Tahun : 2012

Nomor Rekaman : 01

	Iya	tidak	Tidak jelas	Tak dapat diterapkan
1. Apakah jelas dalam penelitian ini penyebab dan apa akibat (yaitu tidak ada kebingungan tentang variable yang mana yang lebih dulu)?	√			
2. Apakah peserta yang termasuk dalam perbandingan serupa?	√			
3. Apakah peserta termasuk dalam menerima perubahan sikap yang terjadi pada kehamilannya?	√			
4. Apakah ada kelompok kontrol?		√		
5. Apakah ada beberapa pengukuran hasil sebelum dan sesudah intervensi atau paparan?	√			
6. Apakah tindak lanjut selesai dan jika tidak apakah perbedaan antara kelompok dalam hal tindak lanjut mereka cukup dijelaskan dan dianalisis?	√			
7. Apakah hasil peserta dimasukan dalam perbandingan yang diukur dengan cara yang sama?	√			
8. Apakah hasil diukur dengan cara yang dapat diandalkan?	√			
9. Apakah analisis statistic yang tepat digunakan ?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Result : dari 9 pertanyaan , jawaban “Ya” sebanyak 8 atau 88,8 %

Lampiran 5

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL STUDI QUASY EXPERIMENTAL

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies

(non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Acik Febila Antika

Date : 18/02/2021

Author : Serpina BR Karo

Year : 2018

Record Number : 01

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	√			
2. Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?	√			
3. Are the participants included in accepting the attitude changes that occurred during their pregnancy?		√		
4. Was there a control group?		√		
5. Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?	√			
6. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	√			
7. Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?	√			
8. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	√			
9. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Result : dari 9 pertanyaan , jawaban "Ya" sebanyak 8 atau 90 %

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL STUDI QUASY EXPERIMENTAL

Daftar Periksa Penilaian Kritis JBI untuk Studi Kuasi-Eksperimental

(studi eksperimental non-acak)

Pengulas : Acik Febila Antika

Tanggal : 18/02/2021

Penulis : Serpina BR Karo

Tahun : 2018

Nomor Rekaman : 01

	Iya	tidak	Tidak jelas	Tak dapat diterapkan
1. Apakah jelas dalam penelitian ini penyebab dan apa akibat (yaitu tidak ada kebingungan tentang variable yang mana yang lebih dulu)?	√			
2. Apakah peserta yang termasuk dalam perbandingan serupa?	√			
3. Apakah peserta termasuk dalam menerima perubahan sikap yang terjadi pada kehamilannya?		√		
4. Apakah ada kelompok kontrol?		√		
5. Apakah ada beberapa pengukuran hasil sebelum dan sesudah intervensi atau paparan?	√			
6. Apakah tindak lanjut selesai dan jika tidak apakah perbedaan antara kelompok dalam hal tindak lanjut mereka cukup dijelaskan dan dianalisis?	√			
7. Apakah hasil peserta dimasukkan dalam perbandingan yang diukur dengan cara yang sama?	√			
8. Apakah hasil diukur dengan cara yang dapat diandalkan?	√			
9. Apakah analisis statistic yang tepat digunakan ?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Result : dari 9 pertanyaan , jawaban “Ya” sebanyak 8 atau 90 %

Lampiran 6

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL STUDI QUASY EXPERIMENTAL

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies

(non-randomized experimental studies)

Reviewer : Acik Febila Antika
 Date : 18/02/2021
 Author : Eliya Rohmah, Suprijati, Titik Susanti
 Year : 2017
 Record Number : 01

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	√			
2. Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?	√			
3. Are the participants included in accepting the attitude changes that occurred during their pregnancy?		√		
4. Was there a control group?		√		
5. Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?	√			
6. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	√			
7. Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?	√			
8. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	√			
9. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Result : dari 9 pertanyaan , jawaban "Ya" sebanyak 8 atau 90 %

LEMBAR CRITICAL APPRAISAL STUDI QUASY EXPERIMENTAL

Daftar Periksa Penilaian Kritis JBI untuk Studi Kuasi-Eksperimental

(studi eksperimental non-acak)

Pengulas : Acik Febila Antika
Tanggal : 18/02/2021
Penulis : Eliya Rohmah, Suprijati, Titik Susanti
Tahun : 2017
Nomor Rekaman : 01

	Iya	tidak	Tidak jelas	Tak dapat diterapkan
1. Apakah jelas dalam penelitian ini penyebab dan apa akibat (yaitu tidak ada kebingungan tentang variable yang mana yang lebih dulu)?	√			
2. Apakah peserta yang termasuk dalam perbandingan serupa?	√			
3. Apakah peserta termasuk dalam menerima perubahan sikap yang terjadi pada kehamilannya?		√		
4. Apakah ada kelompok kontrol?		√		
5. Apakah ada beberapa pengukuran hasil sebelum dan sesudah intervensi atau paparan?	√			
6. Apakah tindak lanjut selesai dan jika tidak apakah perbedaan antara kelompok dalam hal tindak lanjut mereka cukup dijelaskan dan dianalisis?	√			
7. Apakah hasil peserta dimasukkan dalam perbandingan yang diukur dengan cara yang sama?	√			
8. Apakah hasil diukur dengan cara yang dapat diandalkan?	√			
9. Apakah analisis statistic yang tepat digunakan ?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Result : dari 9 pertanyaan , jawaban “Ya” sebanyak 8 atau 90 %

Lampiran 7



LEMBAR BIMBINGAN KTI

Nama mahasiswa : Acik Febila Antika

NIM : P17210183067

Pembimbing : Dra. Swito Prastiwi, M.Kes

NO	TANGGAL	REKOMENDASI PEMBIMBING	TANDA TANGAN PEMBIMBING
1	27/09/2020	Konsultasi topik penelitian	
2	27/09/2020	Konsultasi judul	
3	04/10/2020	Konsultasi bab 1	
4	14/10/2020	Revisi bab 1 latar belakang dan revisi judul	
5	19/10/2020	Revisi bab 1	
6	24/10/2020	Konsultasi bab 2	
7	16/11/2021	Revisi bab 2	
8	14/12/2021	Konsultasi bab 3 metode penelitian	

9	06/01/2021	ACC seminar porposal	
10	12/01/2021	Seminar proposal	
11	01/06/2021	Konsultasi bab 4	
12	15/06/2021	konsultasi bab 5	
13	29/06/2021	Revisi bab 5	
14	06/07/2021	Revisi Abstrak	
15	10/07/2021	ACC Seminar Hasil	
16	21/07/2021	Revisi bab 4 dan kesimpulan	
17	26/07/2021	Revisi kesimpulan dan saran	
18	29/07/2021	Menyempurnakan	
19	29/07/2021	ACC revisi KTI keseluruhan	

