

Lampiran 1 Lembar Bimbingan Karya Tulis Ilmiah (KTI)



LEMBAR BIMBINGAN KTI

Nama mahasiswa : Fathya Ridhanissa

NIM : P17210183086

Nama pembimbing : Dr. Tri Anjaswarni, S.Kp., M.Kep.

NO	TANGGAL	REKOMENDASI PEMBIMBING	TANDA TANGAN PEMBIMBING
1.	02/10/2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Download Mendeley. 2. Mencari jurnal (3 jurnal nasional, 2 jurnal internasional). 3. Membuat rancangan LB, lalu dilanjutkan mengerjakan Bab 1. 	
2.	23/10/2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Penjelasan cara penggunaan Mendeley secara rinci. 2. Pembahasan dan revisi terkait Bab 1 yang telah disusun. 	
3.	18/10/2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pembahasan BAB 1 2. Lanjut mengerjakan BAB 2 	
4.	5/12/2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Penjelasan pemantapan penulisan pemantapan BAB 1 dan BAB 2 	
5.	03/01/2021	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revisi BAB 1 dan 2 2. Lanjut mengerjakan BAB 3 	
6.	24/01/2021	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revisi BAB 2 dan BAB 3 2. Memperbaiki pengetikan yang kurang tepat 3. Melengkapi bagian depan dengan kata pengantar, daftar isi, lembar persetujuan 4. Melampirkan lembar POA dan lembar konsultasi 	

7.	08/02/2021	1. Merevisi ulang proposal dari awal hingga akhir	
8.	08/02/2021	1. ACC Ujian Proposal	
9.	13/06/2021	1. Membahas mengenai Bab IV secara umum 2. Memperbaiki Bab IV	
10.	12/07/2021	1. Memperbaiki Bab IV 2. Menambah Bab V 3. Menambah Abstrak	
11.	13/07/2021	1. Memperbaiki Bab IV 2. Memperbaiki Bab V 3. Menambah Abstrak Indonesia Inggris	
12.	15/07/2021	1. Memperbaiki penilaian kualitas 2. Memperbaiki kesimpulan	

Lampiran 2

PLAN OF ACTION
(September 2020 – Juli 2021)

Nama : Fathya Ridhanissa
NIM : P17210183086

No	Kegiatan Penelitian	September				Oktober				November				Desember				Januari				Februari				Maret				April				Mei			
		1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
I	Tahap Persiapan																																				
	a. Perencanaan Judul	■																																			
	b. Mencari Literatur	■																																			
	c. Penyusunan Proposal		■	■		■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■																								
	d. Konsultasi Proposal				■																																
	e. Perbaikan Proposal					■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■																								
	f. Penyusunan Instrumen													■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■																
	g. Ujian Sidang dan Revisi																					■	■	■	■												
	h. Pengurusan Izin																																				
II	Tahap Pelaksanaan																																				
	a. Pengambilan Data																									■	■	■	■								

Lembar *Critical Appraisal* bentuk *Case Control*
**JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for
case control studies**

Reviewer : Fathya Ridhanissa

Author : Veryudha Eka Prameswari, Elok Fitri Siskawati

Year : 2017

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the groups comparable other than the presence of disease in cases or the absence of disease in controls?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were cases and controls matched appropriately?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were the same criteria used for identification of cases and controls?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Was exposure measured in a standard, valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Was exposure measured in the same way for cases and controls?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were confounding factors identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Were outcomes assessed in a standard, valid and reliable way for cases and controls?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Was the exposure period of interest long enough to be meaningful?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Overall appraisal:	Include <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Exclude <input type="checkbox"/>	Seek further info <input type="checkbox"/>	

Lembar *Critical Appraisal* bentuk *Case Control*
**JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for
case control studies**

Reviewer : Fathya Ridhanissa

Author : Septin Anggraini, Imanuel itipeuw, Andi Mappiare

Year : 2019

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the groups comparable other than the presence of disease in cases or the absence of disease in controls?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were cases and controls matched appropriately?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were the same criteria used for identification of cases and controls?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Was exposure measured in a standard, valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Was exposure measured in the same way for cases and controls?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Were outcomes assessed in a standard, valid and reliable way for cases and controls?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Was the exposure period of interest long enough to be meaningful?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Overall appraisal:	Include <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Exclude <input type="checkbox"/>	Seek further info <input type="checkbox"/>	

Lembar *Critical Appraisal* bentuk *Quasi Experimental*
**JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for
quasi-experimental studies**

Reviewer : Fathya Ridhanissa

Author : Riska Okty Saputri, Anis Prabowo, Wijayanti

Year : 2019

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Is it clear in the study what is the ‘cause’ and what is the ‘effect’ (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Was there a control group?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Overall appraisal:	Include <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Exclude <input type="checkbox"/>	Seek further info <input type="checkbox"/>	

Lembar *Critical Appraisal* bentuk *Quasi Experimental*

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for quasi-experimental studies

Reviewer : Fathya Ridhanissa

Author : Harry Theozard Fikri

Year : 2012

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Is it clear in the study what is the ‘cause’ and what is the ‘effect’ (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Was there a control group?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info