

Lampiran 1

PLAN OF ACTION

(Oktober 2020-Juli 2021)

No	Kegiatan Penelitian	Okt				Nov				Des				Jan				Feb				Mar				Apr				Mei				Juni				Juli			
		1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
I	<b>Tahap persiapan</b>	■																																							
	a Penentuan judul	■																																							
	b Mencari literatur		■	■																																					
	c Penyusunan proposal				■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■																												
	d Konsultasi proposal									■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■																								
	e Perbaikan proposal									■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■																								
	f Ujian sidang proposal																■																								
	g Revisi proposal																	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■																
	h Pengurusan ijin																	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■																
II	<b>Tahap pelaksanaan</b>																																								
	a Pengambilan data																									■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■								
	b Pengolahan data																									■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■								
	c Analisis data dan pengolahan data																									■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■								
	d Konsultasi hasil																													■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■				
III	<b>Tahap Evaluasi</b>																																								
	a Perbaikan hasil																																								
	b Pencatatan dan pelaporan hasil																																								
	c Ujian sidang KTI																																								
	d Perbaikan hasil																																				■				

Lampiran 2











**LEMBAR BIMBINGAN KTI**

Nama mahasiswa : Mery Andriani

NIM : P17210184115

Nama pembimbing : Edy Suyanto, SST, MPH

NO	TANGGAL	REKOMENDASI PEMBIMBING	TANDA TANGAN PEMBIMBING
1.	15/10/2020	- BAB 1 Penulisan latar belakang dan jurnal - BAB 1 Penambahan skala kejadian diare -	
2	29/11/2020	- BAB 3 Penambahan kata kunci - BAB 3 Pembedulan diagram flow chart	
3	14/12/2020	- BAB 3 Penambahan proses pengumpulan data - BAB 3 Penambahan item data - BAB 3 Penambahan risiko bias dalam studi - BAB 3 Penambahan metode analisis	
4	16/1/2021	- Pelengkapan dokumen	
5	25/1/2021	- Acc proposal	
6	29/6/2021	- Perbaikan abstrak pada kata kunci - BAB 1 Perubahan tujuan khusus	
7	10/7/2021	- BAB 4 Penambahan penulisan pembahasan - BAB 5 Perbaikan kesimpulan sesuai tujuan khusus	
8	14/7/2021	- Acc KTI	

### Lampiran 3 JBI

#### *Critical Appraisal for Cross Secional Design*

---

Reviewer: Mery Andriani	Author: Nur A. & dkk
Date: 20 Juni 2021	Year: 2017

---

Record number 1

		<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Unclear</b>	<b>Not applicable</b>
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standart criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

## Lampiran 4 JBI

### *Critical Appraisal for Cross Secional Design*

---

Reviewer: Mery Andriani	Author: Sunardi, Faqih R.
Date: 20 Juni 2021	Year: 2017

---

Record nomer 2

		<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Unclear</b>	<b>Not applicable</b>
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standart criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

## Lampiran 5 JBI

### *Critical Appraisal for Cross Secional Design*

---

Reviewer: Mery Andriani

Author: Martha & Melkisedek

---

Date: 20 Juni 2021

Year: 2016

---

Record nomer 3

		<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Unclear</b>	<b>Not applicable</b>
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standart criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

## Lampiran 6 JBI

### *Critical Appraisal for Cross Secional Design*

Reviewer: Mery Andriani	Author: A. Enikmawati & Fatihah H. A.
Date: 20 Juni 2021	Year: 2017
Record nomer 4	

		<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Unclear</b>	<b>Not applicable</b>
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standart criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

## Lampiran 7 JBI

### *Critical Appraisal for Cross Secional Design*

---

Reviewer: Mery Andriani

Author: Windyastuti & dkk

---

Date: 20 Juni 2021

Year: 2017

---

Record nomer 5

		<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Unclear</b>	<b>Not applicable</b>
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standart criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

## Lampiran 8 JBI

### *Critical Appraisal for Cross Secional Design*

---

Reviewer: Mery Andriani

Author: Ardiyanti & dkk

---

Date: 20 Juni 2021

Year: 2020

---

Record nomer 6

		<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Unclear</b>	<b>Not applicable</b>
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standart criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			



## Lampiran 9 JBI

### *Critical Appraisal for Cross Secional Design*

Reviewer: Mery Andriani	Author: Mensiana & dkk
Date: 20 Juni 2021	Year: 2019
Record nomer 7	

		<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Unclear</b>	<b>Not applicable</b>
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standart criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

## Lampiran 10 JBI

### *Critical Appraisal for Cross Secional Design*

---

Reviewer: Mery Andriani

Author: Happy K. S. & dkk

---

Date: 20 Juni 2021

Year: 2020

---

Record nomer 8

		<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Unclear</b>	<b>Not applicable</b>
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standart criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

## Lampiran 11 JBI

### *Critical Appraisal for Cross Secional Design*

---

Reviewer: Mery Andriani

Author: D. Harmanto & Nengke P.  
S.

---

Date: 6 Juli 2021

Year: 2019

---


Record nomer 9

		<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Unclear</b>	<b>Not applicable</b>
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standart criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

## Lampiran 12


Hasil pencarian menggunakan database :

### a. Google Scholar



The screenshot shows a Google Scholar search for "pengaruh "cuci tangan pakai sabun" diare anak usia sekolah". The search results are displayed in a table format with columns for article titles, authors, and PDF links. The first result is "Peningkatan Pengetahuan, Sikap dan Praktik Cuci Tangan Pakai Sabun pada Anak Kelas V Sekolah Dasar melalui Senam Cuci Tangan Pakai Sabun" by A. Ashari, A. Ganing, and Z. Maggau, published in Jurnal Ilmiah Pemas. The second result is "Faktor-faktor yang berhubungan dengan perilaku cuci tangan pakai sabun pada siswa Sekolah Dasar Negeri Sambiroto 01 Kota Semarang" by M. Kartika and L. Widagdo, published in Jurnal Kesehatan. The third result is "Edukasi perilaku cuci tangan pakai sabun pada siswa untuk pencegahan transmisi penyakit" by G. Tulak, S. Ramadhan, and A. Musrifah, published in JMM (Jurnal Masyarakat Mandiri). The fourth result is "Pengaruh Penyuluhan Cuci Tangan Pakai Sabun Terhadap Sikap Mencuci Tangan Siswa" by E. Wikurendra, published in Jurnal Ilmiah Kesehatan Media.

### b. PubMed



The screenshot shows a PubMed search for "impact of handwash diarrhea school age". The search results are displayed in a list format. The first result is "Does targeting children with hygiene promotion messages work? The effect of handwashing promotion targeted at children, on diarrhoea, soil-transmitted helminth infections and behaviour change, in low- and middle-income countries." by Watson JA, Ensink JHJ, Ramos M, Benelli P, Holdsworth E, Dreibeis R, Cumming O, published in Trop Med Int Health. The second result is "Impact of Water Quality, Sanitation, Handwashing, and Nutritional Interventions on Enteric Infections in Rural Zimbabwe: The Sanitation Hygiene Infant Nutrition Efficacy (SHINE) Trial".

### c. Science Direct

The screenshot shows a web browser window with the ScienceDirect search results page. The search query is "impact of handwash diarrhea school age in indonesia" and the results are sorted by relevance. The page displays 40 results. On the left, there are filters for "Refine by:" including "Years" (2021 (9), 2020 (8), 2019 (4)) and "Article type" (Review articles (5), Research articles (23), Encyclopedia (2), Book chapters (6)). The main content area shows two research articles. The first article is "Childhood stunting and cognitive effects of water and sanitation in Indonesia" by Lisa Cameron, Claire Chase, and Qiao Wang, published in *Economics & Human Biology* on 23 November 2020. The second article is "Quantifying risks and interventions that have affected the burden of diarrhoea among children younger than 5 years: an analysis of the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017" by The Lancet Infectious Diseases, published on 31 October 2019. A "Download PDF" link is visible for the second article. At the bottom, there is a "Feedback" button and a "Want a richer search experience?" prompt.

ScienceDirect Journals & Books Register Sign in

Find articles with these terms  
impact of handwash diarrhea school age in indonesia

Year: 2016-2021 X  
Advanced search

40 results sorted by relevance | date

Refine by:

Years

- 2021 (9)
- 2020 (8)
- 2019 (4)

Show more

Article type

- Review articles (5)
- Research articles (23)
- Encyclopedia (2)
- Book chapters (6)

Research article  
Childhood stunting and cognitive effects of water and sanitation in **Indonesia**  
*Economics & Human Biology*, 23 November 2020, ...  
Lisa Cameron, Claire Chase, ... Qiao Wang

Research article **Open access**  
Quantifying risks and interventions that have affected the burden of **diarrhoea** among children younger than 5 years: an analysis of the **Global Burden of Disease Study 2017**  
*The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 31 October 2019, ...  
No authors available  
Download PDF

Want a richer search experience?  
Sign in for article previews, additional search fields & filters, and multiple article download & export options.

Feedback