Lampiran 1

PLAN OF ACTION

(Oktober 2020-Juli 2021)

No		Kegiatan Penelitian	Okt				Nov				Des				Jan				Feb				Mar				Apr				Mei				Juni				Juli			
			1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
I		**Tahap persiapan**																																									
	a	Penentuan judul	■																																								
	b	Mencari literatur		■																																							
	c	Penyusunan proposal					■	■	■	■	■																																
	d	Konsultasi proposal										■	■	■	■																												
	e	Perbaikan proposal										■	■	■	■																												
	f	Ujian sidang proposal																	■																								
	g	Revisi proposal																		■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■													
	h	Pengurusan ijin																		■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■													
II		**Tahap pelaksanaan**																																									
	a	Pengambilan data																										■	■	■	■	■	■										
	b	Pengolahan data																										■	■	■	■	■	■										
	c	Analisis data dan pengolahan data																										■	■	■	■	■	■										
	d	Konsultasi hasil																															■	■	■								
III		**Tahap Evaluasi**																																									
	a	Perbaikan hasil																																■	■	■							
	b	Pencatatan dan pelaporan hasil																																■	■	■							
	c	Ujian sidang KTI																																						■			
	d	Perbaikan hasil																																						■	■		

Lampiran 2

LEMBAR BIMBINGAN KTI

Nama mahasiswa : Mery Andriani

NIM : P17210184115

Nama pembimbing : Edy Suyanto, SST, MPH

NO	TANGGAL	REKOMENDASI PEMBIMBING	TANDA TANGAN PEMBIMBING
1.	15/10/2020	- BAB 1 Penulisan latar belakang dan jurnal - BAB 1 Penambahan skala kejadian diare -	
2	29/11/2020	- BAB 3 Penambahan kata kunci - BAB 3 Pembetulan diagram flow chart	
3	14/12/2020	- BAB 3 Penambahan proses pengumpulan data - BAB 3 Penambahan item data - BAB 3 Penambahan risiko bias dalam studi - BAB 3 Penambahan metode analisis	
4	16/1/2021	- Pelengkapan dokumen	
5	25/1/2021	- Acc proposal	
6	29/6/2021	- Perbaikan abstrak pada kata kunci - BAB 1 Perubahan tujuan khusus	
7	10/7/2021	- BAB 4 Penambahan penulisan pembahasan - BAB 5 Perbaikan kesimpulan sesuai tujuan khusus	
8	14/7/2021	- Acc KTI	

Lampiran 3 JBI

Critical Appraisal for Cross Secional Design

Reviewer: Mery Andriani	Author: Nur A. & dkk
Date: 20 Juni 2021	Year: 2017

Record number 1

		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standart criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Lampiran 4 JBI

Critical Appraisal for Cross Secional Design

Reviewer: Mery Andriani	Author: Sunardi, Faqih R.
Date: 20 Juni 2021	Year: 2017

Record nomer 2

		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standart criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Lampiran 5 JBI

Critical Appraisal for Cross Secional Design

Reviewer: Mery Andriani	Author: Martha & Melkisedek
Date: 20 Juni 2021	Year: 2016

Record nomer 3

		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standart criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Lampiran 6 JBI

Critical Appraisal for Cross Secional Design

Reviewer: Mery Andriani	Author: A. Enikmawati & Fatihah H. A.
Date: 20 Juni 2021	Year: 2017

Record nomer 4

		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standart criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Lampiran 7 JBI

Critical Appraisal for Cross Secional Design

Reviewer: Mery Andriani	Author: Windyastuti & dkk
Date: 20 Juni 2021	Year: 2017

Record nomer 5

		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standart criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Lampiran 8 JBI

Critical Appraisal for Cross Secional Design

Reviewer: Mery Andriani	Author: Ardiyanti & dkk
Date: 20 Juni 2021	Year: 2020

Record nomer 6

		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standart criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Lampiran 9 JBI

Critical Appraisal for Cross Secional Design

Reviewer: Mery Andriani	Author: Mensiana & dkk
Date: 20 Juni 2021	Year: 2019

Record nomer 7

		Yes	**No**	**Unclear**	**Not applicable**
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standart criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Lampiran 10 JBI

Critical Appraisal for Cross Secional Design

Reviewer: Mery Andriani	Author: Happy K. S. & dkk
Date: 20 Juni 2021	Year: 2020

Record nomer 8

		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standart criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Lampiran 11 JBI

Critical Appraisal for Cross Secional Design

Reviewer: Mery Andriani	Author: D. Harmanto & Nengke P. S.
Date: 6 Juli 2021	Year: 2019

Record nomer 9

		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standart criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Lampiran 12

Hasil pencarian menggunakan database :

a. Google Scholar

b. PubMed

c. Science Direct