

# **LAMPIRAN-LAMPIRAN**

**Lampiran 1****JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies**

**Reviewer** : Naning Duwiningsih      **Date** : 24-01-2021  
**Author** : Fitra Pringgayuda, Purbianto, Ari Eko Putra  
**Year** : 2020      **Record Number** : 01

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal :  Include     Exclude     Seek further

Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 7 jawaban YA :87,5%

## Lampiran 2

### JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

<b>Reviewer</b>	: Naning Duwiningsih	<b>Date</b>	: 1-06-2021
<b>Author</b>	: Putri Prastiti M, Titik Endarwati, dan Sari Candra D.,		
<b>Year</b>	: 2017	<b>Record Number</b>	: 02
		Yes	No
		Unclear	Not applicable

1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal :  Include     Exclude     Seek further

Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 8 jawaban YA : 100%

### Lampiran 3

#### JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

**Reviewer** : Naning Duwiningsih      **Date** : 1-06-2021  
**Author** : Muh. Akmal Sulufu, Kodri, dan Purbianto  
**Year** : 2019      **Record Number** : 03

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal :  Include     Exclude     Seek further

Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 7 jawaban YA : 87,5%

## Lampiran 4

### JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

**Reviewer** : Naning Duwiningsih      **Date** :24-01-2021  
**Author** : Lu Yang, Chan-Yan Huang, Zhi-Bin Zhou, Zhi-Shuang Wen,  
 Guan-Rong Zhang, Ke-Xuan Liu, Wen-Qi Huang  
**Year** : 2015      **Record Number** : 04

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal :  Include       Exclude       Seek further

Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 8 jawaban YA : 100%

## Lampiran 5

### JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

**Reviewer** : Naning Duwiningsih  
**Author** : Mengesha Dessie Allene  
**Year** : 2020

**Date** :24-01-2021

**Record Number** : 05

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal :  Include  Exclude  Seek further

Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 7 jawaban YA : 87,5%

## Lampiran 6

### JBICRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR CASE CONTROL STUDIES

**Reviewer** : Naning Duwiningsih **Date** :1-06-2021  
**Author** : Yinan Li, Hansheng Liang dan Yi Feng  
**Year** : 2020 **Record Number** : 06

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the groups comparable other than the presence of disease in cases or the absence of disease in controls?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were cases and controls matched appropriately?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were the same criteria used for identification of cases and controls?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Was exposure measured in a standard, valid and reliable way?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Was exposure measured in the same way for cases and controls?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were confounding factors identified?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Were outcomes assessed in a standard, valid and reliable way for cases and controls?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Was the exposure period of interest long enough to be meaningful?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Overall appraisal:	Include <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Exclude <input type="checkbox"/>	Seek further info <input type="checkbox"/>	

Dari 10 pertanyaan terdapat 10 jawaban YA : 100%





## Lampiran 7

**LEMBAR BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI**








Nama mahasiswa : Naning Duwiningsih

NIM : P17211172013

Nama pembimbing I : Tavip Dwi Wahyuni,  
S.Kep, Ns, M.Kes.

NO	TANGGAL	REKOMENDASI PEMBIMBING	TANDA TANGAN PEMBIMBING
1.	2 Oktober 2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Penentuan rencana awal</li> <li>2. Pengarahan untuk mencari sumber literature</li> <li>3. Menentukan tema penelitian</li> </ol>	
2.	14 Oktober 2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Mengubah judul penelitian</li> <li>2. BAB I Memperbaiki latar belakang, rumusan masalah, tujuan</li> </ol>	
3.	21 November 2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. BAB II Memperbaiki kerangka konsep</li> <li>2. BAB III Memperbaiki penulisan daftar pustaka</li> </ol>	
4.	5 November 2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. BAB II Memperbaiki penulisan sub bab teori</li> <li>2. BAB III Merapihkan daftar pustaka</li> </ol>	



5.	3 Januari 2021	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Merapikan daftar isi</li> <li>2. Merapikan etika penulisan sesuai buku panduan</li> </ol>	
6.	5 Januari 2021	Acc seminar proposal	
7.	3 Mei 2021	<p>BAB IV</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Merapikan etika penulisan sesuai buku panduan</li> <li>2. Melengkapi daftar pustaka dan lampiran</li> </ol>	
8.	5 Mei 2021	<p>BAB V</p> <p>Merevisi kesimpulan</p>	
9.	18 Mei 2021	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Perbaiki font, table, spasi</li> <li>2. ACC</li> </ol>	
10	31 Mei 2021	Bimbingan judul skripsi baru dan ACC Judul	
11.	9 Juni 2021	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Perbaiki hasil dan kesimpulan</li> <li>2. Mencari lagi jurnal yang sesuai dengan judul, faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi hipotermi pasca general anestesi (perubahan judul)</li> <li>3. Cek lagi jurnalnya</li> <li>4. Perbaiki abstrak</li> </ol>	

12.	15 Juni 2021	Acc	
-----	--------------	-----	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

## Lampiran 8









## LEMBAR BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI


Nama mahasiswa : Naning Duwiningsih

NIM : P17211172013

Nama pembimbing II : Dr. Farida Halis D.K.,  
S.Kp., M.Pd

NO	TANGGAL	REKOMENDASI PEMBIMBING	TANDA TANGAN PEMBIMBING
1.	26 September 2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Penentuan rencana awal</li> <li>2. Pengarahan untuk mencari sumber literature</li> <li>3. Menentukan tema penelitian</li> </ol>	
2.	11 Oktober 2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Mengubah judul penelitian dan Acc judul</li> <li>2. Lanjut Bab I</li> </ol>	
3.	28 November 2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. BAB I Memperbaiki latar belakang</li> </ol>	
4.	10 November 2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. BAB I Acc</li> <li>2. BAB II Memperbaiki kerangka konsep</li> <li>3. BAB III Memperbaiki penulisan daftar pustaka</li> </ol>	

5.	2 Januari 2021	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. BAB II Acc</li> <li>2. BAB III Menambah definisi operasional Merapikan daftar pustaka</li> <li>3. Merapikan daftar isi</li> <li>4. Merapikan etika penulisan sesuai buku panduan</li> </ol>	
6.	6 Januari 2021	Acc seminar proposal	
7.	10 April 2021	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. BAB IV Menyelesaikan Bab IV</li> <li>2. BAB V Menyelesaikan Bab V</li> </ol>	
8.	25 April 2021	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. BAB IV Acc</li> <li>2. BAB V Revisi kesimpulan sesuai dengan tujuan</li> </ol>	
9.	27 April 2021	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. BAB V Acc</li> <li>2. Merapikan etika penulisan sesuai buku panduan</li> <li>3. Lanjut sidang seminar hasil</li> </ol>	
10.	31 Mei 2021	Bimbingan judul skripsi baru dan Acc Judul	

11.	8 Juni 2021	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Bimbingan BAB 1-5 dan Abstrak</li><li>2. Acc</li></ol>	
-----	-------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

## Lampiran 9

### Daftar Riwayat Hidup

*(Curriculum Vitae)*



#### A. PERSONAL DATA

1. Nama Lengkap : Naning Duwiningsih
2. Tempat, Tanggal Lahir : Nganjuk, 24 Juni 1999
3. Jenis Kelamin : Perempuan
4. Agama : Islam
5. Alamat : Dsn. Babadan RT: 02/RW : 01, Ds.  
Gemenggeng, Kec. Bagor, Kab.  
Nganjuk
6. Status : Belum menikah
7. Telepon : 085604166815
8. Alamat E-mail : Naningdwi30@gmail.com

#### B. RIWAYAT PENDIDIKAN

- 2017 - 2021 Politeknik Kesehatan Kemenkes Malang**  
Program Studi Sarjana Terapan Keperawatan Malang
- 2014 - 2017 SMA Negeri 1 Rejoso**  
Jurusan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam
- 2011 - 2014 SMP Negeri 1 Bagor**
- 2005 - 2011 SD Negeri Gemenggeng**

#### C. PENGALAMAN ORGANISASI

- 2017-2018 Kahfi-Gen Politeknik Kesehatan Kemenkes Malang**

#### D. PENGALAMAN KEGIATAN

- 2019 Pelatihan Manajemen Bencana, Palang Merah Indonesia**  
Kota Malang

