

## LAMPIRAN

### Lampiran 1

#### JBICRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

Reviewer Sonia Zalma Ardiansyah Date 17 Mei 2017

Author Imelda Appulembang Year 2017 Record Number 01

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Was there a control group?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include  Exclude  Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Artikel ini dapat dimasukkan dalam kriteria inklusi dengan skor keseluruhan adalah 77.7%.

## Lampiran 2

## JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

Reviewer Sonia Zalma Ardiansyah Date -

Author Dwi Astuti, Ummi Kulsum Year 2018 Record Number 02

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Was there a control group?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include  Exclude  Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

**Artikel ini dapat dimasukkan ke dalam kriteria inklusi dengan skor keseluruhan 66,6%**

## Lampiran 3

## JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR ANALYTICAL CROSS SECTIONAL STUDIES

Reviewer Sonia Zalma Ardiansyah Date Maret 2019

Author Nasir Murdiman, Abdul Aziz Harun, Nur Rachmi Djuhira L, Trivita Putri Solo Year 2019 Record Number 03

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include  Exclude  Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Artikel ini dapat dimasukkan dalam kriteria inklusi dengan skor keseluruhan adalah 50%.

---



---



---

## Lampiran 4

## JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS

Reviewer Sonia Zalma Ardiansyah Date -

Macey Delcambre, MD; Dylan Haynes, BS; Tamar  
Hajar, MD; Spring Golden, MD; Anna Bar, MD; Emile  
Author Latour, MS; and Justin J. Leitenberger, MD Year 2019 Record Number 04

	Yes	No	Unclear	NA
1. Was true randomization used for assignment of participants to treatment groups?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Was allocation to treatment groups concealed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were treatment groups similar at the baseline?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were participants blind to treatment assignment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were those delivering treatment blind to treatment assignment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were outcomes assessors blind to treatment assignment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were treatment groups treated identically other than the intervention of interest?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Were participants analyzed in the groups to which they were randomized?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Were outcomes measured in the same way for treatment groups?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Was the trial design appropriate, and any deviations from the standard RCT design (individual randomization, parallel groups) accounted for in the conduct and analysis of the trial?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include  Exclude  Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Artikel ini dapat dimasukkan dalam kriteria inklusi dengan skor keseluruhan adalah 61.5%

## Lampiran 5

## JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS

Reviewer Sonia Zalma Ardiansyah Date -  
 Saskia M. Tipotsch-Maca, MD; Ralph M. Varsits,  
 MD; Christian Ginzel, MD; Pia V. Vecsei-  
 Marlovits, MD, MSc, MBA. Author Marlovits, MD, MSc, MBA. Year 2016 Record Number 05

	Yes	No	Unclear	NA
1. Was true randomization used for assignment of participants to treatment groups?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Was allocation to treatment groups concealed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were treatment groups similar at the baseline?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were participants blind to treatment assignment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were those delivering treatment blind to treatment assignment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were outcomes assessors blind to treatment assignment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were treatment groups treated identically other than the intervention of interest?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Were participants analyzed in the groups to which they were randomized?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Were outcomes measured in the same way for treatment groups?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Was the trial design appropriate, and any deviations from the standard RCT design (individual randomization, parallel groups) accounted for in the conduct and analysis of the trial?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include  Exclude  Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Artikel ini dapat dimasukkan ke dalam kriteria inklusi dengan skor keseluruhan adalah 69.2%

---



---



---



## Lampiran 6

**JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR COHORT STUDIES**

Reviewer Sonia Zalma Ardiansyah Date 24 Februari 2020

Author Brett M Wiessen, BS, Michael R Bronsert, PhD, MS, Davis M Aasen, BS, Abhinav B Singh, MD, Anne Lambert-Karzner, PhD, MSPH, William G Henderson, PhD, MPH, Karl E Hammermeister, MD, Robert A Meguid, MD, MPH, FACS Year 2020 Record Number 06

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the two groups similar and recruited from the same population?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the exposures measured similarly to assign people to both exposed and unexposed groups?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were confounding factors identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were the groups/participants free of the outcome at the start of the study (or at the moment of exposure)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was the follow up time reported and sufficient to be long enough for outcomes to occur?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Was follow up complete, and if not, were the reasons to loss to follow up described and explored?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Were strategies to address incomplete follow up utilized?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include  Exclude  Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Artikel ini dapat dimasukkan ke dalam kriteria inklusi dengan skor keseluruhan adalah 63.6%

## Lampiran 7

## JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS

Reviewer Sonia Zalma Ardiansyah Date 30 Mei 2019

Author Michael H. Zhang, Zeeshan U. Haq, Evan M. Braithwaite, Noah C. Simon, Kamran M. Riaz Year 2019 Record Number 07

	Yes	No	Unclear	NA
1. Was true randomization used for assignment of participants to treatment groups?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Was allocation to treatment groups concealed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were treatment groups similar at the baseline?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were participants blind to treatment assignment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were those delivering treatment blind to treatment assignment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were outcomes assessors blind to treatment assignment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were treatment groups treated identically other than the intervention of interest?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Were participants analyzed in the groups to which they were randomized?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Were outcomes measured in the same way for treatment groups?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Was the trial design appropriate, and any deviations from the standard RCT design (individual randomization, parallel groups) accounted for in the conduct and analysis of the trial?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include  Exclude  Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Artikel ini dapat dimasukkan ke dalam kriteria inklusi dengan skor keseluruhan adalah 61.5%

---



---



---

## Lampiran 8

## JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS

Reviewer Sonia Zalma Ardiansyah Date 12 April 2020  
Yueyue Miao, BAdvSci; Victoria L. Vensing, MD; Kylie-Ann Mallitt, PhD; Julia E. J. Rhodes, MD; Noah J. Isseman, PhD; Gilberto Moreno, MD; Simon Lea, MD; William Ryman, MD; Gayle Fischer, MD; Rebecca B. Saunderson, MD  
 Author Rebecca B. Saunderson, MD Year 2020 Record Number 09

	Yes	No	Unclear	NA
1. Was true randomization used for assignment of participants to treatment groups?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Was allocation to treatment groups concealed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were treatment groups similar at the baseline?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were participants blind to treatment assignment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were those delivering treatment blind to treatment assignment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were outcomes assessors blind to treatment assignment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were treatment groups treated identically other than the intervention of interest?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Were participants analyzed in the groups to which they were randomized?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Were outcomes measured in the same way for treatment groups?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Was the trial design appropriate, and any deviations from the standard RCT design (individual randomization, parallel groups) accounted for in the conduct and analysis of the trial?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include  Exclude  Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Artikel ini dapat dimasukkan ke dalam kriteria inklusi dengan skor keseluruhan 69.2%



## Lampiran 9

## JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS

Reviewer Sonia Zalma Ardiansyah Date 3 Maret 2018  
Benoit Lattuca, Nicolas Barber-Chamoux, Benjamin Alos, Anis Sfaki, Aurélien Mullier, Noélie Mitou, Thomas Levasseur, Clement Servou, François Desimay, Olivier Hachet, Pascal Motreff, Damien Metz, Olivier Lairat, Nathan Meriton, Loïc Belle, Mariama Akodad, Thomas Maffrevel, Fiona Escarrot, Justine Pollet, Nicolas Danchin, Philippe-Gabriel Steg, Yves Jullière, Claire Boulet  
 Author \_\_\_\_\_ Year 2018 Record Number 10

	Yes	No	Unclear	NA
1. Was true randomization used for assignment of participants to treatment groups?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Was allocation to treatment groups concealed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were treatment groups similar at the baseline?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were participants blind to treatment assignment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were those delivering treatment blind to treatment assignment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were outcomes assessors blind to treatment assignment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were treatment groups treated identically other than the intervention of interest?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Were participants analyzed in the groups to which they were randomized?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Were outcomes measured in the same way for treatment groups?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Was the trial design appropriate, and any deviations from the standard RCT design (individual randomization, parallel groups) accounted for in the conduct and analysis of the trial?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include  Exclude  Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Artikel ini dapat dimasukkan ke dalam kriteria inklusi dengan skor keseluruhan adalah 76.9%

---



---









---












## Lampiran 10




## LEMBAR BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI

Nama Mahasiswa : Sonia Zalma Ardiansyah  
 NIM : P17211173018  
 Nama Pembimbing I : Dr. Susi Milwati, S.Kp., M.Pd.

NO.	TANGGAL	REKOMENDASI PEMBIMBING	TANDA TANGAN PEMBIMBING
1.	27/09/2020	Konsultasi judul Rekomendasi: - Judul “Pengaruh Pemberian <i>Informed Consent</i> terhadap Tingkat Kecemasan pada Pasien Pre Operasi” disetujui Konsultasi pengambilan data saat pandemi Rekomendasi: - Menyiapkan studi literatur dengan minimal 10 jurnal	
2.	20/10/2020	Konsultasi metode penelitian Rekomendasi: - Penelitian menggunakan metode <i>Literature Review</i> - Melanjutkan mencari jurnal minimal 11 dan menyusun BAB I	
3.	22/10/2020	Konsultasi BAB I Rekomendasi: - Revisi latar belakang	
4.	12/11/2020	Pengumpulan revisi latar belakang	
5.	16/11/2020	Konsultasi BAB I Rekomendasi: - Revisi latar belakang	
6.	25/11/2020	Pengumpulan revisi BAB I	

7.	15/12/2020	Rekomendasi: - Menyelesaikan BAB II dan BAB III	
8.	24/12/2020	Konsultasi BAB II Rekomendasi: - Melanjutkan penyusunan BAB III	
9.	28/12/2020	Pengumpulan BAB III	
10.	02/01/2021	Rekomendasi: - Membuat presentasi Power Point BAB I, II, dan III	
11.	03/01/2021	Presentasi BAB I, II, dan III Rekomendasi: - Revisi latar belakang yang ditampilkan	
12.	12/01/2021	Pengumpulan revisi PPT	
13.	14/01/2021	Presentasi BAB I, II, III revisi	
14.	16/01/2021	Proposal disetujui Rekomendasi: - Lanjut sidang proposal	
15.	30/04/2021	Pengumpulan dan bimbingan BAB IV	
16.	17/05/2021	Pengumpulan BAB V dan revisi BAB IV	
17.	24/05/2021	Pengumpulan revisi BAB IV dan BAB V	

18.	26/05/2021	Bimbingan BAB IV dan BAB V	
19.	27/05/2021	Pengumpulan revisi BAB IV dan BAB V	
20.	29/05/2021	Bimbingan dan pengumpulan abstrak	
21.	30/05/2021	Pengumpulan revisi abstrak	
22.	03/06/2021	ACC Sidang Skripsi	














### LEMBAR BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI

**Nama Mahasiswa** : Sonia Zalma Ardiansyah  
**NIM** : P17211173018  
**Nama Pembimbing II** : Naya Ernawati, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kep.

NO.	TANGGAL	REKOMENDASI PEMBIMBING	TANDA TANGAN PEMBIMBING
1.	29/09/2020	Konsultasi judul Konsultasi pengambilan data saat pandemi Rekomendasi: - Menentukan terlebih dahulu RS yang dituju untuk penelitian kemudian mengerjakan BAB I	
2.	10/10/2020	Konsultasi judul Rekomendasi: - Membuat tabel PICOT sesuai jurnal yang didapatkan	
3.	19/10/2020	Pengumpulan tabel PICOT	
4.	21/10/2020	Konsultasi RS yang dituju untuk penelitian Rekomendasi: - Menentukan RS yang bisa digunakan untuk penelitian - Menyusun BAB I	
5.	21/10/2020	Pengumpulan BAB I	
6.	22/10/2020	Pembimbing mengirimkan revisi untuk BAB I	
7.	14/11/2020	Pengumpulan BAB I dan konsultasi metode penelitian Rekomendasi: - Penelitian menggunakan metode <i>Literature Review</i>	



8.	19/11/2020	Konsultasi BAB II Rekomendasi: - Menyelesaikan BAB II dan BAB III	
9.	25/12/2020	Pengumpulan BAB II dan III	
10.	02/01/2021	Pengumpulan <i>Critical Appraisal Checklist</i>	
11.	06/01/2021	Konsultasi revisi BAB II dan III Rekomendasi: - Untuk penelitian LR sebaiknya menggunakan judul analisis faktor bukan pengaruh	
12.	09/01/2021	Pengumpulan proposal	
13.	10/01/2021	Konsultasi judul, tetap menggunakan judul pengaruh	
14.	16/01/2021	Proposal disetujui Rekomendasi: - Lanjut sidang proposal	
15.	01/05/2021	Pengumpulan BAB IV	
16.	17/05/2021	Pengumpulan BAB V	
17.	27/05/2021	Bimbingan revisi BAB IV dan BAB V	
18.	02/06/2021	Pengumpulan abstrak	
19.	03/06/2021	ACC Sidang Skripsi	