

**Lampiran 1****JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies****Reviewer** :Kusnia Alvionita**Date** : 27-10-2020**Author** :Nur Aini Rahmawati, Amelia Puspita Dewi**Year** :2014**Record Number** : 01

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal : Include

Exclude

Seek further

infoComments (Including reason for exclusion)

Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 6 jawaban YA : 75%

**Lampiran 2****JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies****Reviewer** : Kusnia Alvionita**Date** :27-10-2020**Author** :Enggar Roselita dan Ahmad Nur Khoiri**Year** :2017**Record Number** : 02

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal : Include info

Exclude

Seek further

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 6 jawaban YA : 75%

**Lampiran 3****JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies****Reviewer** : Kusnia Alvionita**Date** : 27-10-2020**Author** : Juldin D. E Balla , Rafael Paun, and Ina Debora Ratu Ludji**Year** : 2018**Record Number** : 03

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Overall appraisal : Include info	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Exclude	<input type="checkbox"/>	Seek further info <input type="checkbox"/>

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 6 jawaban YA : 75%

## Lampiran 4

**JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies****Reviewer** : Kusnia Alvionita**Date** : 27-10-2020**Author** :Darmawati, Cut Husna, Aida Fitri, Dahrul Munira**Year** :2019**Record Number** : 04

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the participant included in any comparison similar?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Was there a control group?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Were the outcomes in reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal : Include  
info

Exclude

Seek further

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Dari 9 pertanyaan terdapat 7 jawaban YA : 77%

**Lampiran 5****JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies****Reviewer** : Kusnia Alvionita**Date** :27-10-2020**Author** :Dian Nurmala Sari, Umi Nur Fajri**Year** :2020**Record Number** : 05

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Overall appraisal : Include info	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Exclude	<input type="checkbox"/>	Seek further info <input type="checkbox"/>

Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 8 jawaban YA : 100%

**Lampiran 6****BI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies****Reviewer** : Kusnia Alvionita**Date** : 27-10-2020**Author** : Elok Widjjaningsih, Bambang Wirjatmadi**Year** : 2013**Record Number** : 06

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal : Include Exclude Seek further info 

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 6 jawaban YA : 75%

**Lampiran 7****JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Quasi-experiment Studies****Reviewer** : Kusnia Alvionita**Date** :27-10-2020**Author** :Tetty Junita Purba dan Andayani Boang Manalu**Year** :2020**Record Number** : 07

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Was there a control group?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



Overall appraisal : Include  
info

Exclude

Seek further

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Dari 9 pertanyaan terdapat 7 jawaban YA : 77%

**Lampiran 8****JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies****Reviewer :** Kusnia Alvionita**Date :** 27-10-2020**Author :** PraneeC.Lundberg, PhD, BSc (Nursing and Midwifery), RN (Associate Professor) Trieu Thi Ngoc Thu, MSc, BSc (Midwifery) (Head of the Department of Midwifery)**Year :** 2010**Record Number :** 08

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable	
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Overall appraisal : Include	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Exclude	<input type="checkbox"/>	Seek further info	<input type="checkbox"/>

Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 8 jawaban YA : 100%








## LEMBAR BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI

Nama Mahasiswa : Kusnia Alvionita

NIM : P17211173019

Nama Pembimbing I :

Dr. Ekowati Retnaningtyas, S.Kep.,M.Kes

NO	TANGGAL	REKOMENDASI PEMBIMBING	TANDA TANGAN PEMBIMBING
1.	04/10/2020	Konsultasi Judul	
2.	20/10/2020	Acc Judul	
3.	28/10/2020	Revisi Bab 1 Menambahkan prevalensi Lanjut Bab 2	
4.	28/11/2020	Revisi Bab 2 Menghilangkan sub bab teknik operasi SC Lanjut Bab 3	
5.	29/12/2020	Acc Bab 3 Acc ujian skripsi dengan lengkapi kata pengantar, daftar, table dan lampiran- lampiran	







## LEMBAR BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI


Nama Mahasiswa : Kusnia Alvionita

NIM : P17211173019

Nama Pembimbing II : Dr. Dyah Widodo, S.Kep., M.Kes

NO	TANGGAL	REKOMENDASI PEMBIMBING	TANDA TANGAN PEMBIMBING
1.	05/10/2020	<p>Konsultasi Judul</p> <p>Revisi Bab 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Penulisan latar belakang dari yang umum ke khusus</li> <li>- Penulisan sumber</li> </ul>	
2.	15/10/2020	<p>Revisi Bab 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Penulisan kutipan satu ketukan setelah koma</li> <li>- Penulisan tanda baca, kata berbahasa asing ditulis miring</li> <li>- Manfaat penelitian teoritis dan praktis</li> </ul> <p>Revisi Bab 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Menambahkan sub bab lama penyembuhan luka</li> <li>- Memperbaiki kerangka konsep</li> </ul>	
3.	01/12/2020	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Memperbaiki penulisan kutipan</li> </ul> <p>Revisi Bab 3</p>	

4.	29/12/2020	<p>Menambahkan pencarian kata Acc Bab 3</p> <p>Acc ujian skripsi dengan lengkapi kata pengantar, daftar, table dan lampiran-lampiran</p>	
5.	22/04/2021	<p>Revisi</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Menambahkan satu spasi pada daftar isi untuk abstrak</li> <li>- Menuliskan jumlah total jurnal pada prisma flowchart</li> <li>- Menuliskan hasil sesuai tujuan penelitian</li> <li>- Pembahasan mengacu pada hasil penelitian</li> <li>- Lanjut membuat kesimpulan saran</li> </ul>	
6.	29/04/2021	<p>Revisi Bab 4</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Menambahkan sub bab hasil</li> <li>- Kesimpulan harus spesifik</li> </ul>	
7.	10/05/2021	<p>Revisi Bab 4</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Kesimpulan pada abstrak dituliskan 3 hasilnya</li> <li>- Menyebutkan semua hasil dari jurnal di sub bab hasil</li> </ul>	

8.	11/05/2021	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Menambahkan hasil hubungan antara pola makan dengan lama penyembuhan luka pada pasien <i>post sectio caesarea</i></li><li>- Saran pada masyarakat harus disebutkan spesifik sesuai responden</li><li>- Saran peneliti selanjutnya harus spesifik</li></ul>	
9.	26/05/2021	ACC	