#### **LAMPIRAN**

Lampiran 1. Hasil JBI Appraisal Checklist Jurnal 1

# JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

Review	er: Muhammad Galih Candra P	Date:	26 Juli	2022	
Author:	Dasuki, Buhari Bashok	Year:	2018		
Record	Number: 01	Yes	No	Unclear	NA
1.	Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?				
2.	Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?				
3.	Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	-			
4.	Was there a control group?				
5.	Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?				
6.	Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?				
7.	Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?				
8.	Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?				
9.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?				
	appraisal: Include Exclude Lts (Including reason for exclusion)	Seek fu	rther inf	o 🗌	

9:9 x 100% = 100%

#### Lampiran 2. Hasil JBI Appraisal Checklist Jurnal 2

## JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

Review	er: Muhammad Galih Candra P	Date: 2	26 Juli	2022	
Author:	Asmira Dewi, Sofiana Nurcahyati, Jumaini	Year:	2018		
Record	Number: 02	Yes	No	Unclear	NA
1.	Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?				
2.	Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?				
3.	Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?				
4.	Was there a control group?				
5.	Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?				
6.	Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?				
7.	Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?				
8.	Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?				
9.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?				
Overall a	ppraisal: Include Exclude  ts (Including reason for exclusion):	Seek fui	ther info	, 🗆	

9:9 x 100% = 100%

#### Lampiran 3. Hasil JBI Appraisal Checklist Jurnal 3

# JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

Review	er: Muhammad Galih Candra P	Date:	26 Juli	2022	
Author:	Yunie Armiyati, Khoiriyah, Ahmad Mustof	a Yea	ır: 2019	)	
Record	Number: 03	Yes	No	Unclear	NA
1.	Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?				
2.	Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?				
3.	Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?	-			
4.	Was there a control group?				
5.	Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?				
6.	Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?				
7.	Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?				
8.	Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?				
9.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?				
	ppraisal: Include Exclude  ts (Including reason for exclusion): $00\% = 100\%$	Seek fu	rther inf	io 🗆	

#### Lampiran 4. Hasil JBI Appraisal Checklist Jurnal 4

## JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

Review	er: Muhammad Galih Candra P	Date: 2	26 Juli 1	2022	
Author:	Isrofah, Moh. Projo A, Alpin Amar Ma'ruf	Yea	r: 2019		
Record	Number: 04	Yes	No	Unclear	NA
1.	Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?				
2.	Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?				
3.	Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?				
4.	Was there a control group?				
5.	Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?				
6.	Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	•			
7.	Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?				
8.	Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?				
9.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?				
Overall a Commen	ppraisal: Include Exclude  ts (Including reason for exclusion):	Seek fu	rther info	. 🗆	
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

 $8:9 \times 100\% = 88,8\%$ 

## Lampiran 5. Hasil JBI Appraisal Checklist Jurnal 5

## JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

Review	er: Muhammad Galih Candra P	Date:	26 Juli	2022	
Author:	Liza Fitri Lina, Haifa Wahyu	Year:	2019		
Record	Number: 05	Yes	No	Unclear	NA
1.	Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?				
2.	Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?				
3.	Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?				
4.	Was there a control group?				
5.	Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?				
6.	Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?				
7.	Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?				
8.	Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?				
9.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?				
Overall a	ppraisal: Include Exclude Its (Including reason for exclusion):	Seek fu	rther inf	io 🗆	

8 : 9 x 100% = 88,8%

#### Lampiran 6. Hasil JBI Appraisal Checklist Jurnal 6

## JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

Review	er: Muhammad Galih Candra P	Date: 26 Juli 2022							
Author:	Meta Rosaulina, Zuliawati, Cici Indrayani	Year:	2021						
Record	Number: 06	Yes	No	Unclear	NA				
1.	Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?								
2.	Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?								
3.	Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?								
4.	Was there a control group?								
5.	Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?								
6.	Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	•							
7.	Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?								
8.	Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?								
9.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?								
	ppraisal: Include Exclude ts (Including reason for exclusion): $100\% = 88,8\%$	Seek fu	rther inf	o 🗆					

#### Lampiran 7. Hasil JBI Appraisal Checklist Jurnal 7

## JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

Review	er: Muhammad Galih Candra P	Date: 2	26 Juli	2022	
Author:	Eva Latifah Nurhayati, et al	Year:	2022		
Record	Number: 07	Yes	No	Unclear	NA
1.	Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?				
2.	Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?				
3.	Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?				
4.	Was there a control group?				
5.	Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?				
6.	Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?				
7.	Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?				
8.	Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?				
9.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?				
Overall a	ppraisal: Include Exclude  ts (Including reason for exclusion):	Seek fu	rther inf	о	

 $9:9 \times 100\% = 100\%$ 

#### Lampiran 8. Plan Of Action

#### PLAN OF ACTION (POA)

Nama: Muhammad Galih Candra Pratama

NIM : P17211183043

Judul : Pengaruh Terapi *Ice cube* Terhadap Penurunan Rasa Haus Pada Pasien Gagal Ginjal Kronis Yang Menjalani Hemodialisis

No			1ce cube Ternadap Penurunan Rasa Haus Pada Pasien Gagai Ginjai Kronis Yang Menj 2022									J	2023																				
	Penelitian		Jı	ıli			Agu	stus	5	5	Septe	embe	er	C	kto	bei	•	N	ove	mb	er	D	ese	mb	er	J	anı	ıari		F	ebr	uar	'i
		1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
I	Tahap																																
	Persiapan																																
	A. Perencanaan Judul																																
	B. Literasi Sumber Rujukan																																
	C. Penyusunan Proposal																																
	D. Uji Sidang Proposal																																
	E. Revisi Proposal																																
	F. ACC Revisi Proposal																																

II	Tahap															
	Pelaksanaan															
	A. Pengolahan Data															
	B. Analisis Data															
	C. Konsultasi Hasil															
III	Tahap Evaluasi															
	A. Perbaikan Hasil															
	B. Pencatatan Data dan Pelaporan Hasil															
	C. Ujian Sidang Skripsi															
	D. Revisi skripsi															
	E. ACC Skripsi															



# KEMENTERIAN KESEHATAN RI BADAN PENGEMBANGAN DAN PEMBERDAYAAN SDM KESEHATAN POLITEKNIK KESEHATAN KEMENKES MALANG

es Utimi. fl. Zeur Ijen No. 71 C. Miling. 63112 Telp (0341) 566073, 371333. Fm
ser I. fl. Srikopa No. 106 Jember, Telp (0331) 456613.
ser III. fl. A. Vini Samberprong, Luving Telp (0341) 457347.
ser III. fl. Dr. Soetemo No. 46 Ekiter Telp (0342) 457347.
ser IV. fl. H.M. Wikhid Miryim No. 642 Refür Telp (0344) 753093.
ser IV. fl. Dr. Soetemo No. 3 Trenggish Telp (0343) 791793- Rimper VI
mikasomo No. 57A Pomorayo Telp (0342) 461792.
fittp://www.politekkes-malang.acidEmnill.: direktorat@politekkes-malang.acid C Midme estit Telp (0341) 566073, 571353 Fm (0341) 536746

-Kamper III -Kamper IV

Webtite: Http://w



# LEMBAR KONSULTASI SKRIPSI

# PROGRAM STUDI SARJANA TERAPAN KEPERAWATAN MALANG

NAMA

: Muhammad Galih Candra Pratama

NIM

: P17211183043

JUDUL SKRIPSI

: Pengaruh Terapi Ice Cube Terhadap Penurunan Rasa Haus Pada

Pasien Gagal Ginjal Kronis Yang Menjalani Hemodialisa

NAMA PEMBIMBING: Dr. Arief Bachtiar, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kep., TANDA TANGAN NO **TANGGAL** URAIAN **PEMBIMBING MAHASISWA** 1. 19/10/2021 Penjelasan sistematika bimbingan skripsi Strategi penyusunan kata pengantar dan BAB 1 2. 1/11/2021 Mempertajam penggunaan MSKS pada kata pengantar

3.	22/07/2022	-	Konsultagi		
]			Konsultasi pergantian		1 -
			pendekatan penelitian		(a.l.)
		-	Menelusuri ulang	$\setminus M$ .	Muni
			prevalensi dan skala data		//
4.	04/08/2022				0
4.	04/08/2022	-	Perubahan tujuan		
			penelitian disesuaikan		000
			dengan kaidah LR		(9)//:
		-	Menghindari penggunaan		Xnn/9
			kalimat majemuk	/ "	1
		-	Merapikan format BAB 1		
5.	14/08/2022	-			
"	14/00/2022	-	Membenahi manfaat		
			penelitian baik secara		
			teoritis maupun praktis		(M. 1)
1		-	Menentukan urgensi dan		My
			solusi di latar belakang		0
6.	17/08/2022	-	Memperjelas stadium		
1			gagal ginjal di BAB 2		$\bigcirc$ 0.
		-	Menambahkan referensi	CIA	( 01/10/
			pada BAB 2 untuk	///	M/M/M
			menguatkan teori		
7	21/00/2022				
7.	21/08/2022	-	Menghapus bagian		
			proposal yang tidak		
			terfokus	M	Mich
		-	Menambah dan		
			memperluas referensi		()
			metode penelitian		

6	31/08/2022		Memindahkan hasil		
8.		-	penilaian JBI ke BAB 4 ACC Proposal skripsi	\( \mathcal{I} \).	Offinites
9.	4/1/2023	-	Melampirkan poin pertanyaan JBI Memastikan format JBI yang dipakai	W.	Ghi.h
10.	12/1/2023	-	Membenarkan sistem penulisan bab 1-5 Membenarkan kata asing	M.	Gfin's
11.	19/1/2023	-	Memfokuskan format penulisan abstrak dalam Bahasa Indonesia dan Bahasa Inggris	(M.	This
12	. 23/1/2023	-	ACC untuk sidang Skripsi	M.	Glich

Prodi Sarjana Terapan Keperawatan Malang Ketua

Mengetahui, Pembimbing

NIP. 197407281998031002

Dr. Arief Bachtiar, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kep., Dr. Arief Bachtiar, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kep., NIP. 197407281998031002

#### Lampiran 10. Lembar konsultasi pembimbing 2



#### KEMENTERIAN KESEHATAN RI BADAN PENGEMBANGAN DAN PEMBERDAYAAN SDM KESEHATAN POLITEKNIK KESEHATAN KEMENKES MALANG



-Kumpur Utuma: Il Estar Ijen No 77 C Milang. 63117 Telp (0341) 566075, 571933 Fax (0341) 536746
-Kumpur I Il Srikeyo No 106 Jember, Telp (0331) 436613
-Kumpur III Il A Yani Sumberperong Lawang Telp (0341) 427347
-Kumpur III Il Dr. Scetorno No 46 Elitar Telp (0341) 201043
-Kampur IV Il KH Wakhid Harrim No 64E Rediri Telp (0344) 273095

-Kampus V II Dr Soetsmo No 3 Trenggalek Telp (0333) 191293- Rampus VI Mangunkusomo No 22A Ponorogo Telp (0312) 461792 fl Dr Cipto

Website : Http://www.poltekher-malang.acidEmail : direktorat @poltekher-malang.acid

#### LEMBAR KONSULTASI SKRIPSI

# PROGRAM STUDI SARJANA TERAPAN KEPERAWATAN MALANG

: Muhammad Galih Candra Pratama NAMA

: P17211183043 NIM

: Pengaruh Terapi Ice Cube Terhadap Penurunan Rasa Haus Pada JUDUL SKRIPSI

Pasien Gagal Ginjal Kronis Yang Menjalani Hemodialisa

NAMA PEMBIMBING: Taufan Arif, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kep TANDA TANGAN **TANGGAL** URAIAN NO **MAHASISWA** PEMBIMBING Pemfokusan masalah 20/07/2022 1. pada judul Saran pergantian pendekatan penelitian 2. 21/07/2022 - Strategi penyusunan latar belakang di BAB 1 menggunakan teori MSKS - Penguatan kata ketika menggunakan MSKS - Merapikan tujuan dan manfaat penelitian BAB 1

3.	24/07/2022	- Pembenahan penggunaan	
		kata di BAB 1 dan 2	$  \cap \cap \cap  $
		- Menambahkan urgensi	1 /as/://
		penelitian di BAB 1	)   Jun'
		- Memfokuskan prevalensi	
		data	
4.	25/07/2022	- Pembenahan strategi	
		telusur jurnal di BAB 3	1 Galaga
		- Melampirkan form	w   Jiw
		checklist JBI Appraisal	'   0
5.	01/08/2022	- Memastikan kelengkapan	
J.	01/08/2022	tiap jurnal	
		- Membuat tabel hasil	1 /01/2
		telusur bias	J While
		- Memperjelas penggunaan	t   // //
		bahasa di BAB 3	
6.	04/08/2022	- Memperjelas hasil telusur	- 001
		bias	1 Giller
		- Diskusi hasil revisi	The state of
		sebelumnya di BAB 3	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
7.	5/10/2022	- ACC Proposal skripsi	) Ghil
			my / Jun
8.	26/1/2023	- Membetulkan penulisan	
		tabel hasil telusuran	
		- Mempertajam penjelasan	and Way
		tabel tabulasi	1 ) //W/
			U

9.		-	Memfokuskan isi		
			pembahasan	$\bigcap$	Gil 1
		-	Merevisi keterbatasan		
			penulisan	- Joseph	1 / (m
10.		-	Membenarkan sistem	0.0	601
			penulisan bab 1-5		(a) (-)
		-	Membenarkan kata asing		Jun
11.		-	Memfokuskan format	, ,	$\sim$ 0 1
			penulisan abstrak dalam	l 1) () l	(a) /:/
			Bahasa Indonesia dan	anu	yw
			Bahasa Inggris	194	V
12.	10/2/2023	-	ACC untuk sidang		alal
			Skripsi		Jui?

Prodi Sarjana Terapan Keperawatan Malang

Ketua

Dr. Arief Bachtiar, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kep.,
NIP. 197407281998031002

Mengetahui,

Pembimbing

Taufan Arif, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kep

NIP. 199006072022031001